Transformer-level modeling of Geomagnetically Induced Currents in New Zealand's South Island

T. Divett¹, G. S. Richardson², C. D. Beggan², C. J. Rodger¹, D. H. Boteler³, M. Ingham⁴, D. H. Mac Manus¹, A. W. P. Thomson², and M. Dalzell⁵

¹ Department of Physics, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.
² British Geological Survey, Edinburgh, UK.
³ Geomagnetic Laboratory, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Canada
⁴ Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand.
⁵ Transpower New Zealand Ltd., Wellington, New Zealand

Key Points:

1

2

3 4

10

11	•	We develop a transformer-level network representation of New Zealand's South Island
12		electrical transmission network to examine GIC
13	•	Modeling GIC at the transformer-level can significantly alter the perception of the
14		GIC hazard compared to simpler substation-level modeling
15	•	We found reasonable agreement between extensive measurements of GIC and mod-
16		eled transformer-level GIC across multiple storms

Corresponding author: Tim Divett, tim.divett@otago.ac.nz

17 Abstract

During space weather events, geomagnetically induced currents (GICs) can be induced 18 in high voltage transmission networks, damaging individual transformers within substations. 19 A common approach to modeling a transmission network has been to assume that every sub-20 station can be represented by a single resistance to Earth. We have extended that model by 21 building a transformer-level network representation of New Zealand's South Island trans-22 mission network. We represent every transformer winding at each earthed substation in the 23 network by its known DC resistance. Using this network representation significantly changes 24 the GIC hazard assessment, compared to assessments based on the earlier assumption. Fur-25 ther, we have calculated the GIC flowing through a single phase of every individual trans-26 former winding in the network. These transformer-level GIC calculations show variation in 27 GICs between transformers within a substation due to transformer characteristics and con-28 nections. The transformer-level GIC calculations alter the hazard assessment by up to an 29 order of magnitude in some places. In most cases the calculated GIC variations match mea-30 sured variations in GIC flowing through the same transformers. This comparison with an 31 extensive set of observations demonstrates the importance of transformer-level GIC calcula-32 tions in models used for hazard assessment . 33

34 **1 Introduction**

During space weather events the interaction of coronal mass ejections (CMEs) and 35 high speed streams with the Earth's magnetic field gives rise to electric currents flowing in 36 the ionosphere and magnetosphere. The magnetic field variations associated with these cur-37 rents during geomagnetic disturbances induce an electric field at the Earth's surface through 38 an interaction with the conducting ground. The electric field induced during these distur-39 bances leads to an electromotive force in long conductors such as electrical transmission 40 lines causing currents to flow along the conductors. These geomagnetically induced cur-41 rents (GICs) can cause a DC offset current to flow through the windings of transformers 42 connected to the transmission lines at substations. GIC can damage transformers through 43 magnetic saturation of the material in the transformer's core which leads to overheating and 44 generation of harmonics. GICs have been observed at low to mid geomagnetic latitude coun-45 tries including the UK [Erinmez et al., 2002], South Africa [Koen and Gaunt, 2003], Brazil 46 [Trivedi et al., 2007], China [Liu et al., 2009], Spain [Torta et al., 2012] and Australia [Mar-47 shall et al., 2013], as well as New Zealand [Béland and Small, 2004; Marshall et al., 2012; 48 Mac Manus et al., 2017]. In New Zealand, during the November 2001 storm a single phase 49 of Halfway Bush's (HWB's) transformer T4 was damaged, subsequently written off and re-50 placed. 51

Modeling GIC is useful to understand the impact of geomagnetic storms on power sys-52 tems. A standard approach to modeling GIC, used, for example, by Koen and Gaunt [2003], 53 Torta et al. [2012], Beggan et al. [2013], Blake et al. [2016], Bailey et al. [2017] and Divett 54 et al. [2017] has been to use the method of Lehtinen and Pirjola [1985] (LP85 hereafter). In 55 these applications of the LP85 method substations are approximated as a single resistance to 56 Earth and it is usually assumed that equal levels of GIC flow through each transformer in a 57 substation. This approach was used to model the GICs due to a uniform magnetic field vari-58 ation for the South Island in Divett et al. [2017]. In that study the substation-level GICs at 59 each earthed substation were calculated for a range of directions of the magnetic field. 60

Transpower New Zealand Ltd have measured GICs through multiple individual transformers within substations [*Mac Manus et al.*, 2017; *Rodger et al.*, 2017]. It is important to note that these GIC observations are of the GIC flowing through individual transformers (transformer-level GIC) rather than a total measure of GIC to ground for each substation (substation-level GIC). This is an important consideration when comparing modeled GIC to observed GIC. *Mac Manus et al.* [2017] showed that the GICs through different transformers can be substantially different, even within the same substation at the same moment in time. ⁶⁸ It is therefore important to model GIC at the transformer-level rather than the substation-⁶⁹ level for four reasons:

⁷⁰ 1. Individual transformers are impacted differently during storms

71

72

73

75

- 2. Power transformers are generally all different (e.g. age, resistances, winding type, power characteristics)
- 3. Observations of GIC are usually conducted at individual transformers
- 4. With this level of modeling, we will eventually be able to predict which transformers will be worst affected by geomagnetic disturbances during a large storm.

Boteler and Pirjola [2014] showed how autotransformers and normal transformers con-76 nected within a hypothetical substation can be represented in an adaptation of the LP85 77 method. Boteler and Pirjola [2017] (hereafter BP17) went on to show how to calculate the 78 transformer-level GIC. BP17 then showed how this method could be used to demonstrate the 79 influence of the geomagnetic coast effect on power networks using a hypothetical square net-80 work as well as for the test case network of Horton et al. [2012]. More recently, Richardson and Beggan [2017] confirmed that the LP85 method used to model GIC in the UK, Ireland, 82 Austria, and New Zealand [Beggan et al., 2013; Blake et al., 2016; Bailey et al., 2017; Di-83 vett et al., 2017] correctly calculates the transformer-level current of the test case in Horton 84 et al. [2012]. It is this implementation of LP85 which we extend in the present study. We 85 shall demonstrate the use of transformer-level GIC calculations within a model of an existing 86 network. The South Island is ideal for this demonstration because it is small and isolated . 87

Commercial power engineering packages have been used to model transformer-level 88 GIC in real networks in the past. For instance Overbye et al. [2012] used the PowerWorld 89 package to model the transformer-level GIC flowing in the North American Eastern Inter-90 connect due to a uniform electric field across the region. Overbye et al. [2012] point out 91 that the modeling of GIC will be greatly enhanced by comparison with observations. Bu-92 tala et al. [2017] provided comparison of observed and modeled transformer-level GIC using 93 PowerWorld in the same network, also with a uniform electric field. In a further example, 94 Shetye and Overbye [2015] modeled GIC in the same network using a single resistance for 95 each substation. They applied the non-uniform, North American Electric Reliability Corpo-96 ration (NERC) geomagnetic disturbance benchmark electric field across the region but did 97 not compare their GIC calculations with measured GIC. In the present study we compare 98 modeled GIC with Transpower's observations across multiple geomagnetic storms showing 99 detail of GICs in each transformer at case study substations. This has been uniquely possi-100 ble due to Transpower allowing publication of not only the GIC observations but also details 101 of the network configuration. We believe the present study is the first to compare an aggre-102 gate of GIC observations across multiple storms with transformer-level GIC modeling using 103 a non-uniform electric field incorporating variation due to ground conductance. Further, the 104 present study demonstrates a path for researchers who currently use the LP85 method to cal-105 culate transformer-level GIC — including the geophysical step of calculating the geoelectric 106 field. Hence, we provide a different implementation of the calculations that are common in 107 commercial packages, that currently do not include the geophysical step. 108

The electric field that we use in the present paper to drive the network model was cal-109 culated by Divett et al. [2017] using the thin-sheet modeling approach of Vasseur and Wei-110 *delt* [1977]. This approach had also been used successfully to calculate geoelectric fields 111 for GIC in the United Kingdom, and Austria by Mckay [2003]; Beggan et al. [2013] and 112 Bailey et al. [2017], respectively. Divett et al. [2017] compared the output of the thin-sheet 113 model to field studies by comparing against induction vectors measured by Chamalaun and 114 McKnight [1993], finding good agreement in magnitude and direction. However Divett et al. 115 [2017] did note some errors in the direction of calculated fields at the coast compared to 116 117 measured induction vectors which was inferred to be due to the relative coarseness of the modeled coastline in the thin-sheet conductance model. The strongest electric fields were 118 found to occur when the driving geomagnetic field variation was aligned parallel to the main 119

axis of New Zealand. This direction is only 25 to 30° east of present-day geomagnetic north
 in the New Zealand regionand hence is close to the geomagnetic north magnetic field direc tion expected to be induced by an east-west auroral electrojet. The electric field in this case
 is roughly perpendicular to the west and east coasts, therefore resulting in the greatest ampli fication of the electric field by the coast effect.

In the present study we have developed a transformer-level representation of the high-125 voltage electrical transmission network of New Zealand's South Island. We calculate both 126 substation-level and transformer-level GIC using the transformer-level network representa-127 tion. We compare the We compare the GICs calculated from the original substation-level network representation with the transformer-level network and the ratios of observed GICs in 129 transformers at Islington (ISL) and HWB substations reported by Mac Manus et al. [2017] 130 and Rodger et al. [2017]. We demonstrate the importance of this transformer-level modeling 131 to GIC calculations through this comparison. By presenting the transformer-level GIC details 132 of 3 substations we show why GICs vary between different transformers within a substation. 133 We believe the present study is the first to compare extensive observations with transformer-134 level GIC modeling using a non-uniform electric field incorporating variation due to ground conductance. 136

¹³⁷ 2 GIC observations at the transformer level

The New Zealand GIC data is particularly extensive in an international context. These observations are nearly continuous since 2001 at up to 58 individual transformers. The measurements were initially intended to monitor currents in the Earth return path of the highvoltage DC link between the North and South Islands. By removing the impact of the High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) Earth return currents from the data the remaining current is GIC [*Mac Manus et al.*, 2017].

In the introduction we suggest why it is important to model GIC at the transformer-144 level rather than the substation-level. One of the points we note is that the GICs observed in 145 the same substation are different for each transformer in that substation. This is commonly 146 seen in the New Zealand data. It is possible to see this variation due to the large number of 147 transformers that are monitored within substations in New Zealand. The variation is caused by the difference in the resistances of each transformer and its connection to Earth. Evidence of these differences has been previously presented in the literature. For example, Mac Manus 150 et al. [2017] examined how stray HVDC currents entered into South Island transformers. 151 Figure 4 of their paper shows the stray HVDC current seen at each transformer for a fixed 152 level of HVDC Earth return current. The figure demonstrates that is is possible to have dif-153 ferent levels of current in each of the instrumented transformers within the same substation. 154 Varying GIC current magnitudes inside a single substation are also presented in their paper 155 for two example storms: 6 November 2001 (Figure 5 of Mac Manus et al. [2017]), and 2 October 2013 (Figure 7 of *Mac Manus et al.* [2017]). 157

To provide further evidence, we examine observations of peak GIC at the ISL substation, as shown in Table 1. This table shows the magnitude of peak GIC through each of the four instrumented transformers at ISL for five recent storms. These measurements demonstrate that there is a significant variation in the GIC magnitude in individual transformers within the same substation during geomagnetic storms. These observations of the GICs have been corrected for HVDC earth-return current [*Mac Manus et al.*, 2017]. Measurements of GICs through T6H and T7H were not available from 7 September 2017 as a fault occurred in the logging and archiving equipment.

Trends in the relative magnitude and ratio of GIC through each transformer are clear across all five storms in Table 1. T3H and T7H experience similar levels of GIC. However, the GIC through T6H is 3 times that through T3H. On the other hand, GIC through T9H is 1/3 that through T3H in all but the 2 October 2013 storm. Therefore there is a factor of

- 158 **Table 1.** Peak GIC (in Amps) through transformers at Islington (ISL) during five recent large storms (times
- given in UT). Dashes indicate no data were available. The H in the transformer name indicates the high
- voltage winding.

Η
0
2
4
4
5

approximately 9 between the peak GIC at T6H to T9H which is a significant difference in 173 the amplitude of GIC. These values suggest there are consistent ratios between the GICs ob-174 served at each transformer in the same substation for multiple storms. We note that the val-175 ues are not identical from storm to storm, and suggest this may be due to differences in the 176 geomagnetic forcing from storm to storm. One example of these differences between storms is the distributions of magnetic frequency components present, which are expected to cou-178 ple to each transformer differently due to transformer frequency response factors. Previously, 179 it was reported by Rodger et al. [2017] that the peak GIC measured at HWBT4L was con-180 sistently $3 \times$ larger than ISLT6H. These ratios of GICs through different transformers during 181 several storms provide a useful measure to compare against modeled GICs due to the consis-182 tent trend over several storms. 183

3 Method: developing a transformer-level GIC network representation of the South Island from a substation-level representation

We have developed a transformer-level network representation of the South Island's 190 power transmission network. This is based on the substation-level nodal network approach 191 of LP85 so that we can make use of their matrix calculation techniques. This substation-level 192 representation was used to calculate GICs across the South Island of New Zealand by Divett 193 et al. [2017]. In our first modification to the LP85 method we make a transformer-level net-194 work representation of the South Island's electrical transmission network. In this representa-195 tion a single phase of the high voltage (220 kV, 110 kV, and 66 kV) windings of every trans-196 former in the transmission network is accounted for. This technique is based on the *Boteler* 197 and Pirjola [2014] technique for describing autotransformers and normal transformers in the 198 node and connector model developed by LP85. In the second modification to the LP85 ap-100 proach we use this transformer-level network representation to calculate the current through 200 each individual transformer winding in the South Island using Boteler and Pirjola's [2017] 201 technique. 202

This approach was suitable in our case as we started with an existing implementation 203 of LP85's matrix calculations for the South Island. Because of this making the modifications 204 was a minor change. Boteler [2014] showed that GIC modeling can also be performed using 205 the Nodal Admittance Matrix method (e.g.: Guile and Paterson [1977]) that is common in 206 power industry packages. Boteler and Pirjola [2014] show that the LP85 method is mathe-207 matically equivalent to the Nodal Admittance Matrix method and points out that the second 208 method is often preferred by electrical engineers. Boteler and Pirjola [2014] also point out 209 that the Nodal Admittance Matrix method provides a clearer sequence of calculations to cal-210 culate the same transformer-level GIC, compared to the LP85 method. 211

The transformer-level GIC is the current that flows through a specific winding of a specific transformer within a given substation. On the other hand the substation-level GIC is the



Figure 1. The South Island of New Zealand showing locations discussed in the text, transmission line resistance and substation node locations (both provided by Transpower) in the South Island network representation. All 220 kV, 110 kV, and 66kV lines are shown, although duplicate parallel lines are not. Unearthed substation locations are indicated with a cross without a label.

total GIC flowing to Earth through the Earth grid resistance (EGR), also called substation
 grounding resistance or earth ground resistance .

We have focused on key locations that Mac Manus et al. [2017] and Rodger et al. 216 [2017] report as having high levels of observed GICs and have experienced documented dis-217 ruption during geomagnetic storms in the past [Marshall et al., 2012]. Transformer number 218 6 at ISL (ISLT6) shows relatively high observed GICs during geomagnetic storms. (Note, 219 ISLT6 is called M6 in Mac Manus et al. [2017] and Rodger et al. [2017] based on an old 220 Transpower naming convention, but we are calling it T6 for consistency with other trans-221 former names). Archived observations started at ISLT6 in November 2001, and thus ISLT6 is one of the longest monitored transformers in the South Island network. The highest observed 223 GIC through this transformer was during the 6 November 2001 storm which was 33 Amps. 224 Transformer number 4 at HWB (HWBT4) is the transformer that was written off, and subse-225 quently replaced, after the 6 November 2001 storm [Béland and Small, 2004]. Transpower 226 have been measuring GICs at HWBT4 since 2013 [Mac Manus et al., 2017]. 227

Figure 1 shows the location of all 64 substations in the South Island, marked by crosses. 228 The 29 earthed substations are labeled, substations where the high voltage transformers 229 (>33 kV) are unearthed are not labeled. Transmission lines are assumed to follow a straight 230 line between nodes which is a commonly applied modeling approximation. The resistance of 231 a single phase of each of the three phase transmission line varies from 0.039Ω for the 220 kV 232 line between OHB and the nearest unearthed substation to 7.1Ω for the 66 kV line connect-233 ing COL to the unearthed substation directly north of COL, shown in Figure 2 by varying colors. These locations and resistances are the same as those used in the substation level GIC 235 modeling of Divett et al. [2017]. 236

Note that we discuss transformer windings in several places in this paper in reference 237 to the high or low voltage winding of a transformer. In this context we are referring to the 23 total turns of a transformer coil, rather than each individual winding (or turn) of a coil. In a 239 two-winding transformer with high voltage windings and core separate from the low voltage 240 windings and core (referred to here as normal transformers) the high side winding refers to 241 the windings on the high voltage side while the low side winding refers to the windings on 242 the low voltage side. In autotransformers the winding between the 220 kV and 110 kV buses 243 is called the series winding, while the winding between 110 kV and 0 kV buses is the com-244 mon winding. We also refer to a bus or busbar, meaning the three solid conductors, one for 245 each phase, for distributing the currents between all the lines and all the transformer terminals within a substation. Finally, we also refer to a tap or center tap, meaning a contact made 247 to a point part way along the windings of an autotransformer. 248

249

3.1 Modeled geo-electric fields for GIC calculation

We drive the network representation by the electric fields calculated by Divett et al. 250 [2017] in a domain around New Zealand discretized into 96×96 square grid cells. Cells are 1/6th of a degree (roughly 20 km) in the north and east directions. This calculation used the 252 thin-sheet model of Vasseur and Weidelt [1977], with a two dimensional thin-sheet conduc-253 tance model and depth variation specific to New Zealand's geology, based on magnetotel-254 luric measurements, geology and bathymetry. A uniform geomagnetic field variation was 255 assumed. This driving magnetic field variation is uniform across the grid, with a period of 256 10 min, and magnitude of 500 nT. We chose this period and amplitude to represent a mod-257 erately large geomagnetic disturbance. The direction of this geomagnetic field is 50° east of 258 north, roughly parallel with the main axis of the South Island. The *electric* field variation calculated by this method is predominantly oriented to the Northwest, roughly perpendicu-260 *lar* to the South Island's main axis. This direction was chosen for this study because it gives 261 the strongest electric field. Geomagnetic north varies from 21.5 to 25.5° east of geographic 262 north from the south to the north of the South Island, hence the direction of the driving mag-263 netic field is 28.5 to 24.5° east of geomagnetic north respectively. The direction of the driv-264

Table 2. Symbols used in our study, Vectors made of these quantities are indicated by overbars, Matrices in

278

bold.

Symbol	Description
Isub	Total GIC flowing to Earth at a substation, through the Earth grid resistance (EGR)
Itrans	GIC flowing through a general transformer
I_{T1L}	GIC flowing through a specific transformer winding, in this case T1L
I_m^n	GIC flowing from node <i>n</i> to node <i>m</i>
$J_{m,n}$	Current source across the impedance of the transmission line connecting node m to n
V_m^n	Voltage difference between nodes <i>n</i> and <i>m</i>
V_e^a	Voltage at node <i>a</i> relative to local Earth for that substation
R _{trans}	Resistance of a general transformer winding
R^n_{sub}	Resistance of the <i>n</i> th substation (in LP85 substation-level calculations)
R_{e}^{n}	Resistance of the <i>n</i> th Earth grid resistance (in the transformer-level calculations)
R_{T1L}	Resistance of a specific transformer winding, in this case T1L
$R_{linc}^{m,n}$	Resistance of the transmission line connecting nodes m and n
R_n^m	Resistance (transformer or transmission line) between nodes m and n

ing magnetic field will influence the relative intensity of GICs at each substation, as shown
 for New Zealand by *Divett et al.* [2017], and possibly for each transformer within a substation. For demonstration of the transformer-level GIC calculations we have chosen a single
 direction that is representative of a geomagnetic disturbance that would be expected to lead
 to large GICs.

The magnitude of Divett et al.'s (2017) calculated electric field varies around the South Island. The strongest electric field of ~ 1.5 V/km occurs in the mountains of the Southern Alps to the west of Christchurch. These locations are shown on the map of the South Island in Figure 1. Near the coast there is localized deflection away from the northwest direction at locations where the coast is not oriented to the northeast, due to the coast effect [*Parkinson and Jones*, 1979; *Mckay and Whaler*, 2006].

276

3.2 Starting with substation-level network representation and calculation

We will describe the standard formulation of the LP85 method first because the ex-279 tended method used in the present paper is based on this formulation. The symbols used for 280 the LP85 method, and the subsequent extension, are listed and described in Table 2. In this 281 section we use the nodal network representation shown in Figure 2a. In the LP85 method 282 each substation is represented as a node (l, m, and n in Figure 2a) and a resistor, R_{trans} . The 283 resistor represents the combined resistance of every transformer within that substation. Each substation is earthed through the Earth grid resistance, R_e . Nodes are connected by a single 285 transmission line resistor, representing a single phase of the three phase transmission lines 286 (e.g.: $R_{line}^{m,n}$ connecting nodes *m* and *n*). 287

The first step in calculating the GICs in the nodal network representation is common to both the substation-level and transformer-level models. We calculate the current source due to GIC, $J_{m,n}$, along each transmission line by

$$J_{m,n} = \frac{1}{R_{line}} \int_{m}^{n} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{ds}.$$
 (1)

The integration is adding the electric field \vec{E} along the path of the *i*th transmission line that connects the *m*th and *n*th substations, with line elements \vec{ds} . The resistance of a single phase of that transmission line is R_{line} . A vector of current sources is built up by performing this operation on every transmission line.

The resistance of the network is described mathematically by two matrices: the net-295 work admittance matrix, Y, and the earthing impedance matrix, Z. In the network admit-296 tance matrix, the off-diagonal elements contain the negative admittances $(-Y_{m,n} = -1/R_{line}^{m,n})$ 297 of the lines connecting nodes m and n. The diagonal elements are formed by summing the 298 admittance of every transmission line into each node. Assuming that all substations are far 299 enough away from each other that the GIC flowing to Earth at one node does not affect the 300 voltages at another node, the earthing impedance matrix is diagonal. Each diagonal element of \mathbf{Z} is the Earth grid resistance of that node. In the case of the substation-level calculation, 302 each *n*th element of **Z** is $R_{sub}^n + R_e^n$. GIC varies slowly compared to the 50 Hz AC power so 303 we assume that a DC treatment is sufficient and Y and Z are therefore assumed to be real, 304 following most previous GIC modeling studies. We note that the network inductance can be 305 high so some transient time lag of the GIC could be introduced which we are not represent-306 ing. 307

The vector of substation-level GICs, \overline{I}_{sub} , flowing to Earth at each substation is then calculated by

$$\overline{I_{sub}} = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\overline{J}$$
(2)

310 following LP85.

311

325

327

3.3 Transformer-level network representation

We have represented each transformer in a specific substation by adapting the node and 312 connector network representation of LP85, using the techniques described in Boteler and Pir-313 jola [2014]. Three nodes of LP85's substation-level node and connector network represen-314 tation are shown in Figure 2a, where the *n*th substation is represented by the single resistor, 315 R_{trans}^{n} . However, it is clear from the Single Line Diagram of Invercargill (INV) substation in 316 Figure 2b that real substations are more complicated than this, and cannot be represented by 317 a single resistor. The block diagram in Figure 2c shows how we have represented this exam-318 ple substation in the nodal network representation. That representation allows a modification 319 of the nodal matrix calculation method to calculate GIC flowing to Earth at each substation 320 and the GIC flowing through each transformer winding in our modified method. 321

We show INV as an example of this technique because it is the simplest substation in the network that exhibits all of the key features that we need to represent:

- 1. multiple voltage levels
 - 2. parallel transformers
- 326 3. autotransformers
 - 4. normal transformers

The Single Line Diagram in Figure 2b includes various disconnectors (three digit numbers), 328 circuit breakers (three digit numbers in boxes), bus connections, and other features which are 329 of little interest to a GIC modeler. Transmission lines to other substations are indicated by 330 lines continuing out of the top (220 kV) and bottom (110 kV) of the figure. The key features 331 for GIC modeling are the three transformers near the bottom of Figure 2b (labeled T1, T3 332 and T5). T1 is an autotransformer with the series winding connected to 220 kV, the common 333 winding connected to the 0 V node, and a tap at 110 kV as shown in Figure 2c. T1's function is to convert 220 kV power to 110 kV but from the perspective of GIC the DC resistance of 335 the windings provide a path for GIC to flow between each of the three nodes. T3H and T5H 336 are the high voltage sides of 'normal' transformers which convert 220 kV to local distribu-337 tion voltage. Only the high voltage side is shown because the local distribution lines con-338

necting to the low voltage side have relatively high resistances and short lengths compared to
 the high voltage transmission lines. Therefore we assume that local distribution lines do not
 contribute significantly to GIC and consequently these circuits are not modeled.

However, a problem arises in trying to fit multiple transformers into the LP85 network 342 representation. This problem occurs when trying to fit multiple nodes within the same sub-343 station, representing different voltage buses, to the nodal representation of LP85. It is simple 344 enough to represent each transformer winding as a DC resistance that connects each high 345 voltage node to the 0 V node. The neutral connection (or earthed connection) of each transformer is connected to the Earth mat then to local Earth through the EGR at the same sub-347 station. In this case, the simple representation of $R_{node} = R_e + R_{trans}$ with a single node 348 per substation, which is used in LP85's representation, does not work. This is because the 349 EGR is in series with several parallel transformers, each of which is also connected to a high 350 voltage bus within the substation. Calculation of the GICs flowing to Earth through each of 351 these transformers using the LP85 method would require a calculation of the current through 352 a resistor in series with parallel resistors that the simple network representation is not capable 353 of representing. Hence, calculating the GIC through each transformer requires a small modification of the LP85 approach. We call this modified approach a transformer-level network 355 representation, to calculate transformer-level GICs. In the following subsections we show 356 how we deal with multiple nodes within a single substation and different transformer types. 357

As an additional complication, Transpower have installed neutral Earth resistors (NERs) 365 in series with transformers at some substations. These NERs were installed to reduce the 366 level of HVDC Earth return current that enters the network at locations around the South 367 Island when the HVDC link is operating in earth-return mode, as described by Mac Manus 368 et al. [2017]. These NERs also have the effect of reducing the GICs flowing to ground by 369 a simple increase in the effective resistance connecting the 0 V node to the higher voltage 370 nodes within a substation. These NERs are included in the network representation at substa-371 tions by adding the resistance of the NER to transformer winding resistance where they are 372 installed. The case study for the ISL substation we present later in this study (in section 4.3) includes the NERs installed there. 374

3.3.1 Multiple voltage levels within a substation

375

In our transformer-level approach, each high voltage bus within a substation is repre-376 sented as a node as shown for the 110 kV and 220 kV buses in Figure 2c. These nodes and 377 color coded buses have also been added to Figure 2b for comparison. Note, the Earth node 378 is not shown in Figure 2b. Each of these high voltage nodes is connected to local Earth by 379 an infinite 'virtual resistor' for consistency with the LP85 method, following Boteler and 380 *Pirjola* [2014]. The resistance of these 'virtual resistors' is represented as $10^{10} \Omega$ to avoid 381 dividing by zero in the matrix inversion of equation 2, as noted by *Boteler and Pirjola* [2014] 382 and Divett et al. [2017]. In reality, the 'leakage current' will flow into local Earth through the 383 non-infinite insulators in the substation but is not large enough to be of interest. In the model 384 it is in the order of 10^{-10} A. 385

The Earth mat, or 0 V node, is connected to local Earth by the EGR . Transpower pro-386 vided the most recent measurements available of EGR for each of the 29 earthed substations 387 on the South Island's high-voltage transmission network. The location of all 29 are shown 388 in Figure 1. Across the South Island the EGR values range from 0.04 Ω at SDN to 4 Ω at 389 KUM. These values differ by two orders of magnitude due to factors such as underlying rock 390 type, local soil type, and moisture content. The other 35 substations are not earthed on the high-voltage side of the transformer because they use delta-wye (Dy) transformers, where 392 any Earth connection is on the local distribution side of the transformer. These 35 unearthed 393 substations do not provide a path for GIC to flow into or out of the high voltage transmission 394 network because there is no direct connection to local Earth at these locations. The EGR at 395 unearthed substations is assumed to be $10^{10} \Omega$, following *Divett et al.* [2017]. 396



Figure 2. a) The LP85 node and connector network representation, b) the Single Line Diagram for an example substation (Invercargill, INV) extracted from a regional single line diagram where red lines are 110 kV and yellow lines are 220 kV, and c) a block diagram showing how the DC resistances of transformer windings at Invercargill can be represented as nodes connected by resistors to represent an autotransformer (T1's series winding (T1H) and common winding (T1L)) and the high voltage side of parallel normal transformers T3 (T3H) and T5 (T5H) with multiple voltage nodes (0, 110 and 220 kV) within a substation. The green line resistors are representative of the multiple connections of the 2 high voltage nodes to the rest of the network.

In the example substation shown in Figure 2 we now have three nodes, each of which 397 is connected to local Earth by either an EGR or 'virtual' resistor, consistent with the LP85 398 network representation. Using the terminology of LP85, the high voltage winding of a nor-200 mal transformers such as T5H or T3H at INV become 'line' resistances connecting the 0 V node and 220 kV node in Figure 2c. For the autotransformer T1 with a tap at 110 kV the se-401 ries winding (T1H) connects the 220 kV node to the 110 kV node and the common wind-402 ing (T1L) connects the 110 kV node to the 0 V node. High voltage nodes (both 110 kV and 403 220 kV) are also connected to the rest of the network through resistive transmission lines, 404 in most cases multiple lines per node. These transmission lines are represented by the green 405 resistive element in Figure 2c with the current source, J, induced in that line by the electro-406 motive force shown in parallel with these transmission lines, in the same way that they are 407 represented in the LP85 method. 408

409

3.3.2 Autotransformers, normal transformers and parallel transformers

The DC resistance of each common, series, high, or low voltage winding is found by dividing the phase-neutral DC winding resistance of a single phase by three. Thus, the DC resistance represents the resistance to a DC current flowing through all three of the threephase windings in parallel. The DC resistance of every high-voltage transformer in the South Island network was supplied by Transpower. Hence, these are the actual resistances to GICs flowing through the network rather than the approximations, as used in many previous modeling studies.

It is common for more than one transformer to connect the same buses in parallel within a substation. These parallel transformers are represented in our model by adding the resistances in parallel when constructing the network admittance matrix in the same way that *Divett et al.* [2017] did for parallel transmission lines.

Autotransformers such as INVT1, shown in Figure 2, connect two high-voltage nodes as well as Earth. In contrast, each winding of a normal transformer only provides a direct connection between one high-voltage node and Earth. Our example substation shows only the high-voltage side of two normal transformers (INVT3H and INVT5H) connecting 220 kV to 0 V. The low voltage side of these transformers connects to the local distribution (33 kV) side of the substation and is not modeled in our representation for reasons described earlier in section 3.3.

The significance of these two types of transformer to GIC is that autotransformers provide a more direct path for GIC to couple between two different voltage lines than normal transformers. In the case of a normal transformer connecting the 220 kV network to the 110 kV network, the GIC entering the substation on a 220 kV transmission line must flow through the high voltage side of the transformer, then through the Earth mat, before flowing through the low voltage side of the transformer and into the transmission lines on the 110 kV network. This is one example of how the detailed electrical connectivity inside a substation can make a significant difference to the GIC magnitudes in individual transformers.

436

3.4 Calculating transformer-level GIC

Boteler and Pirjola [2014] provide a method to represent a combination of auto-transformers
and 'normal' transformers in LP85's network representation that does not require off-diagonal
elements to the earthing impedance matrix. Significantly, this method correctly represents
the transformer resistance between different voltages in the network. This allows the correct calculation of GIC flowing between sub sections of the network which supply different
voltages. This impedance between voltages is not represented at all in the simpler network
representation and may yield a reduced GIC for lower voltage sections of the network. It may
also reduce the impact of the lower voltage sub-networks on the higher voltage sections.

We now have every transformer within every earthed substation in the South Island 445 network represented in a way that is consistent with the LP85 network representation, as 446 shown in Figure 2. We can then use matrix calculations to calculate the GIC through the 447 DC resistance of each transformer winding using the following approach. The transformer winding resistances are used to calculate impedance between nodes within a substation in 449 the network admittance matrix, Y. The line resistance of a single phase of each transmission 450 line, measured and provided by Transpower, is used for the resistance of transmission lines 451 connecting substations. The Earth grid resistances, R_{e}^{n} , which are either the EGR supplied 452 by Transpower or apractically infinite 'virtual resistance', are then used to build the earthing 453 impedance matrix, \mathbf{Z} . This means that by applying equations 1 and 2 we have now calculated 454 the GIC flowing to Earth through every earthing resistor. In the case of the 0 V nodes the 455 calculated GIC is the substation-level GIC, I_{sub} , representing the total GIC flowing to local 456 Earth through the EGR at a given substation. However, in the case of each high voltage node, 457 including the 'virtual resistor' is essential to calculate the GIC flowing through transformer 458 windings, even though the modeled current in the virtual resistor is on the order of 10^{-10} A. 459

Within a substation the GIC through each transformer, I_{trans} , is calculated by two simple applications of Ohms law, as described by *Boteler and Pirjola* [2017]. First we calculate the node voltage, relative to the local substation Earth, by

$$\overline{V_e} = \overline{I_{sub}} \cdot \overline{R_e} \tag{3}$$

as an element-wise multiplication of the vectors of GIC flowing to Earth, I_{sub}^n through the Earth grid resistance at each of the nodes, R_e , including the virtual resistors.

Then we calculate the voltage difference between each node from

$$V_n^m = V_e^n - V_e^m \tag{4}$$

for all nodes within every substation. Then finally the GIC flowing through the DC resistance of each winding of each transformer, R_{trans} , can be calculated using

$$I_{trans} = \frac{V_n^m}{R_n^m} \tag{5}$$

also calculated element-wise in a vector operation. It should be noted that V_{ρ}^{n} and V_{ρ}^{m} are 468 relative to local Earth for each specific substation. When we use the full matrix method to 469 calculate the voltage difference between nodes, V_n^m , and the current flowing between nodes, 470 I_{trans} , we find both a voltage for nodes at different substations (across transmission lines), 471 and the voltage difference between transformers within a substation. While V_n^m does repre-472 sent the expected voltage difference at each node within a specific substation, the calculated 473 current flowing between nodes at different substations does not represent the GIC flowing 474 through that transmission line. To be clear about this point, equations 1, 2, and 3 do include 475 the effect of different local Earth voltages, while this effect is removed in equations 4 and 5. 476

477 **4** Results: Transformer level GIC across the South Island

478 479

465

4.1 Calculated substation-level GIC across the South Island with transformerlevel network representation

GICs calculated using the earlier, substation-level network representation [*Divett et al.*,
 2017] for each of the earthed substation in the South Island network are shown in Figure 3a.
 In contrast, the substation-level GICs calculated using the *transformer-level* network representation are shown in Figure 3b. In both cases we used the electric field calculated by the
 thin-sheet model with a uniform magnetic field and conductance model derived from magnetotelluric measurements, geology, and bathymetry described in section 3.1.

In most of the southern part of the network the transformer-level representation results
 in GICs that are about 25% higher than GICs using the substation-level representation. Do ing the modeling at the transformer-level we better include multiple voltage levels so we get



Figure 3. a) Total substation GIC flowing to Earth at each substation using the substation-level network representation from *Divett et al.* [2017], b) substation-level GIC using the transformer-level network representation and c) the transformer-level GIC flowing through each transformer within three key substations.

more accurate results, relative to the earlier substation-level modeling. The GIC magnitude 489 at some of the central hydro dam (ROX, BEN, and OHA), and Dunedin (HWB) substations 490 are significantly higher with the transformer-level of detail represented. AVI, TIM, BRY, 491 NMA, and BGR are the only locations with smaller GICs in the transformer-level network 492 representation. BGR is an unusual case because it is part of the HVDC link to the North 493 Island. GIC is 0 A at BGR in the transformer-level network because it is not actually con-494 nected to the AC network. This is a demonstration of where a transformer-level representa-495 tion of the network is crucial to understanding GIC risk. If we assumed the substation was 496 a 0.5 Ω resistor (as commonly applied in GIC modeling studies) we would incorrectly cal-497 culate the GIC to be 24 A when in fact it should be 0 A. GIC at nodes on Tasman (COB) 498 and the West Coast's (DOB, KUM and OTI) 66 kV nodes are of similar size in both models. 499 The 25% increase in GIC magnitude for multiple locations demonstrates the importance of 500 including this level of detail to represent each substation. The previous simplified represen-501 tation not only does not include the level of detail required to compare against observations 502 and identify individual transformers at risk, but also underrepresents the modeled GIC com-503 pared to a transformer-level network representation.

The highest magnitude of modeled substation-level GIC in the South Island network is 508 $I_{sub} = -68$ A at the STK substation. This is surprising, as it is not a location that is known to 509 have been damaged by GICs. There are also no measurements of GICs at STK, which high-510 lights the value of modeling GIC in this way. We have identified STK as a location that is 511 at risk and suggested that Transpower install monitoring equipment here. The high GIC at 512 STK is probably caused by STK's location at the edge of the electrical network and the lo-513 cal topography. Near STK the coast rises abruptly to mountainous terrain in a sharp corner 514 of Tasman Bay. The resulting steep gradient of ground conductance leads to a strong geo-515 electric field aligned parallel with transmission lines that run Northwest and Southeast from 516 STK. The uniform magnetic field we have used in this model also contributes to high GIC 517 at STK. During a real geomagnetic storm the magnetic field variation is likely to be of lower 518 magnitude to the northern end of the South Island compared to the southern end. This would 519 reduce the impact of GIC on STK, relative to what our modeling suggests. However, it also 520 possible that during a large storm the geomagnetic variation would move further north with 521 the expansion of the auroral oval, in which case the calculated GIC might be representative 522 of a large storm. 523

The next highest magnitude of substation-level GIC is $I_{sub} = 61$ A at ROX which is 524 also not a location known to experience high levels of GIC. This is easily explained by look-525 ing at the transformer level for this substation. There are 8 normal transformers connected 500 in parallel at ROX, which are electrically similar as well as one autotransformer. The GIC is divided more or less evenly through each transformer. The resulting transformer-level GIC at ROX is only 16 A through the autotransformer's series winding, 6 A through the common 529 winding, and 11 A flows through each of the 8 normal transformers. Hence, although at the 530 substation-level the GIC looks high at ROX, the transformer-level GIC at ROX is only one 531 third to a half of the highest transformer-level GICs which are shown in Figure 3c. 532

The next highest magnitude substation-level GICs are at the two substations at Christchurch 533 (BRY and ISL) and BEN, Figure 3b. The peak observed GICs through transformers at BRY 534 were approximately 1/3 those at ISLT6 during the 6 November 2001 storm [Mac Manus 535 et al., 2017]. The transformer-level modeled GIC at BRY is 19 A, about twice the modeled 536 GIC at ISLT6. Further work is required to understand the difference between the ratio of GIC 537 that occurred at BRY and ISL. The uniform magnetic field used in this model may account 600 for some of this difference between modeled and observed ratios as BRY and ISL. This is possible because ISL and BRY are connected to distinctly different sets of transmission lines 540 despite being located in the same city. Those transmission lines traverse different parts of the 541 country so can potentially pick up induced current from different electric fields particularly 542 due to coastal effects and spatial variation in the magnetic field. 543

⁵⁴⁴ On the other hand we do see a reasonable agreement in the ratios of transformer-level ⁵⁴⁵ GIC at ISL and HWB. If we only looked at the ratio of modeled *substation-level* GIC at ⁵⁴⁶ HWB and ISL we would conclude that $I_{HWB}/I_{ISL} = 0.67$. This substation-level ratio is ⁵⁴⁷ in stark contrast to the ratio of $I_{HWBT4}/I_{ISLT6} \approx 3$ which comes from observations of ⁵⁴⁸ transformer-level GIC at several storms over the period of Transpower's GIC observations ⁵⁴⁹ at both substations (2013 to 2015) [*Rodger et al.*, 2017]. The very different ratios are easily ⁵⁵⁰ explained by looking at the *transformer-level* modeled GICs for the two substations.

This difference in ratio of GIC at HWB and ISL is the most significant result of the 551 comparison between substation-level GIC and transformer-level GIC in Figures 3b and 3c. 552 The difference between the observed ratio and modeled substation-level ratio demonstrates 553 the importance of modeling transformer-level GIC instead of substation-level GIC. The 554 substation-level GIC at ISL is 52 A, among the highest in the South Island. However, the 666 highest modeled transformer-level GIC at ISL is only 10 A, at most, only half that at HWBT4L. Thus, calculating the transformer-level GIC shows that while ISL is still exposed to a GIC 557 risk, HWB is at a significantly higher risk from GIC than ISL. This prediction would not 558 have been possible if the calculation had stopped at the substation level and more accurately 559 represents the observations of GICs and impacts on transformers in the South Island during 560 storms. 561

562

4.2 GIC through individual transformers at HWB

In Figure 3c we zoom in to focus on the modeled transformer-level GIC at key substations in the network. We choose to focus on these locations because transformers at HWB and ISL have exhibited high levels of observed GIC (particularly at HWBT4 and ISLT6) in the past and due to the damage at HWBT4 in 2001.

The different transformer characteristics and connections impact GIC depending on how each substation and each transformer within the substation are designed. We show how these designs impact the transformer level GIC for our three test case substations on the block diagrams in Figures 4, 5 and 6, respectively . We label the DC resistance on the rectangular box that represents the DC resistance of each transformer winding. The magnitude of the transformer-level GIC in each transformer is shown in these figures by the length of the colored bars.



Figure 4. Block diagram showing the DC characteristics of transformer windings at Halfway Bush (HWB)
 substation. The modeled GICs through those transformers are represented by the length of the red boxes and
 is written below each transformer.

The DC resistance of each transformer winding and substation design contribute to the high levels of GIC at HWB. HWB substation has 3 voltage nodes: 0 V, 110 kV and 220 kV, represented in Figure 4 by black, red, and yellow nodes and lines, respectively. The multiple connections of the 110 kV and 220 kV nodes to the rest of the South Island's transmission network are represented by transmission line resistors, shown in green in Figure 4 for each node. Both HWBT4 and HWBT6 are auto transformers connecting 220 kV and 0 V nodes with a tap at 110 kV. The series and common windings of HWBT4 are HWBT4H and HWBT4L, respectively and likewise for HWBT6.

The transformer-level modeled GIC at HWB very simply highlights the important differences between the substation-level GIC and transformer-level GIC shown in Figures 3b and 3c. The substation-level GIC at HWB does not look remarkable compared to substations such as ROX. However, it is apparent that the GIC through individual transformer windings is significantly higher. This is due to the low number of transformers at HWB for the GIC to be spread between, the distribution of their resistances, and the specific way that these transformers are connected to voltage buses within HWB substation.

As noted above, in Figure 4 the transformer-level GICs flowing through the series and common windings of each of the two autotransformers at HWB is shown by the length of the red bars inside each box, respectively in Figure 4. With the GICs and resistances shown in this way it is clear that the high transformer-level GICs (particularly the 19.5 A flowing through HWBT4L) can be explained by a combination of four aspects of the network:

- ⁵⁹⁷ 1. low line resistance on the 220 kV lines,
- ⁵⁹⁸ 2. higher line resistance on the 110 kV lines,
- ⁵⁹⁹ 3. the parallel connection of two autotransformers and
- 4. the relative resistance of those autotransformer windings.

Due to these factors, our modeling suggests that HWBT4L will experience higher GIC (and thus higher hazard levels) than other transformer windings in the HWB substation. Further, our modeling indicates that the HWBT4L transformer winding will have the highest GIC in

the South Island, with the possible exception of STK, as discussed in section 4.4.



Figure 5. Block diagram showing the DC characteristics of transformer windings and Neutral Earth Resistors (NERs) at Islington (ISL) substation. The modeled GICs through those transformers are represented by the length of the red (negative) and blue (positive) boxes and written on the left.

4.3 GIC through individual transformers at ISL

The block diagram of the resistances and connections for transformer windings at the 609 ISL substation are shown in Figure 5. Again the GIC for each winding is indicated by the 610 length of red (negative current) or blue (positive current) bars. Note, the blue bars are very 611 short due to the low level of GIC in those transformers. This diagram helps explain the flow 612 of GIC at ISL in the same way that the block diagram helps explain the differences in current 613 through each transformer winding at HWB. However, the transformers at ISL are all normal 614 transformers with ISLT3, ISLT6 and ISLT7 designed to convert power from 220 kV to 66 kV. 615 ISLT1, and ISLT2 are designed to convert power from 220 kV to the local distribution volt-616 age of 33 kV. The low voltage side windings on the local distribution network are not repre-617 sented in our representation or shown in Figure 5 due to the high resistance of the connection 618 to Earth of the local 33 kV network. ISLT9 has a 12.5 kV low voltage winding and is a ded-619 icated transformer for the Static VAR Compensator, to provide regulation and stability to the 620 power system. 621

The other major difference between ISL and HWB is the Neutral Earth Resistors (NERs) 622 in series with some transformers. The NERs' function is to suppress the HVDC earth-return 623 currents which enter the network at ISL when the HVDC link is operating in earth-return 624 mode [Mac Manus et al., 2017]. The NERs also act to suppress the quasi-DC GICs from 625 flowing through the high voltage side windings at ISL. The high resistance of the NERs, rel-626 ative to the resistance of the high voltage side transformer windings reduces the GIC that will 627 flow to ground through this path. In fact, adding NERs is a technique sometimes used to mitigate the impact of GICs on electrical networks (for example, Hussein [2016]). The NERs 629 serve a dual purpose here as they act to even out the level of GIC that flows through each of 630 ISLT1H to ISLT7H. 631

The modeled GIC through each of these transformer windings only varies between 9.2 A and 10 A. This is despite the resistance of these transformer windings varying by a factor of 3.4. This consistent level of GIC is due to the similarity of the combined total resistance of NER plus R_{trans} for each of these transformer windings. Of these similar levels of GIC, the GIC through ISLT6H is slightly higher, due to it's slightly lower resistance. Further, the significantly lower modeled GIC flowing through ISLT9H is due to the resistance of the NER in series with ISLT9H being double that of the other NERs at ISL. This high NER is installed to protect the Static VAR Compensator during a GIC event.

At ISL, the modeled GIC through T3H and T7H is the same, in good agreement with the observations in Table 1. Further, the modeled GIC through T3H is twice that through T9H, also in fairly good agreement with the factor 3 seen in the observed GICs in Table 1. However, the modeled GIC through T6H is very similar to that through T3H which does not match the observed factor of 3 between the two transformers. It is not clear why the observed GIC at T6H is 3 times that through T3H, given that the resistance of these transformers is similar. The resistance of the NERs and transformer windings are nearly identical. We are currently working with Transpower to understand why that difference occurs.

An interesting feature of the modeled GICs at ISL is the difference in direction of the 648 GICs flowing through the three low voltage side transformer windings (ISLT3L, ISLT6L and ISLT7L), shown by the blue bars in Figure 5 (again note, the blue bars are very small be-650 cause the GIC is much lower than other GIC shown). This indicates that while GICs flow 651 out of the Earth mat and into the 220 kV transmission lines through ISL's high side wind-652 ings, GIC flowing through the low side windings flows into the Earth mat and out of the 653 66 kV transmission lines. The magnitude is small, mostly due to the high line resistance of 654 the 66 kV network that connects the low voltage side transformer windings to the rest of the 655 network. This change in direction of the GIC demonstrates the complex path that GIC can 656 take through high and low voltage side windings of transformers at the same substation. 657

To first order the direction of the GIC does not change the impact on a transformer. 658 However, if GIC flows in the same direction through both windings of a common-core trans-659 former (such as an autotransformer) then the magnetic flux adds constructively. On the other 660 hand if the GIC flows in opposite directions in each winding (as is the case for T3, T6 and T7) then the magnetic flux can cancel to some degree, reducing the impact of the GIC on 662 the transformer. However, the magnetic flux of the low side of these transformers at ISL is 663 very low due to the low magnitude of the GIC and the relatively low number of turns on the 664 66 kV windings compared to the 220 kV windings. Despite having little impact on the GIC 665 hazard, this effect is a significant difference between the route that GIC flows through the au-666 totransformers at HWB and the normal transformers at ISL. Note also that the direction of 667 GIC flowing from the high voltage bus to the Earth mat is conditional on the direction of the 660 magnetic field vectors chosen for this modeling study. In reality, the electric field is an elliptically rotating vector in the horizontal plane, under the plane wave assumption. Hence, the 670 direction of the GIC will swap direction every half period as the direction of the electric field 671 rotates by 180°, as shown for substation-level GIC by Divett et al. [2017]. 672

673

4.4 GIC through individual transformers at STK

We also present detailed results for Stoke (STK) substation where there are currently 674 no GIC observations. STK shows the highest level of modeled transformer-level and substation-675 level GICs in the network. STK is also an interesting substation in that it has a bus for each 676 of the three voltage levels of the AC transmission network (50-66 kV, 110 kV, and 220 kV). The 677 transformer-level GICs at STK are of greater magnitude and has greater differences within 678 the substation than that at either HWB or ISL, as shown in the block diagram in Figure 6. 679 STK has a bus for each of the three primary voltages used in the South Island network (66 kV, 680 110 kV and 220 kV). These are connected by a combination of autotransformers (STKT3 and 681 STKT7) and normal transformers' high voltage side windings (STKT6H and STKT10H).

As seen in Figure 6, the highest GIC at STK flows through the T7 autotransformer, connecting the 220 kV bus to Earth, with a tap at 110 kV. The reason for this is largely due to the difference of the resistance of each possible path connecting the 220 kV bus to the 0 V node in Figure 6. The DC resistance of the T7 autotransformer is $R_{STKT7L} + R_{STKT7H} =$ 0.175Ω compared to the relatively higher resistance (0.27Ω) of either of the normal trans-



Figure 6. Block diagram showing the DC characteristics of transformer windings at Stoke (STK) substation. The modeled GIC through those transformers is represented by the length of the red boxes and written below (above for T6H) the transformer.

formers. Interestingly, the GIC flowing through the common winding of STKT7 is higher than that flowing through STKT7's series winding. This higher GIC flowing through the higher resistance windings of the same autotransformer is due to the current through STK

than that flowing through STK17's series winding. This higher GIC flowing through the
 higher resistance windings of the same autotransformer is due to the current through STKT7L
 being split between T7H and the 110 kV transmission lines. The current through STKT7L is
 negative so 8.1 A of the GIC that flows from Earth, through STKT7L, subsequently flows
 into the 110 kV transmission lines while the remaining 22.4 A flows on through the series
 winding of STKT7 and into the 220 kV transmission network.

The GIC flowing through STKT3 is significantly lower than that flowing through other transformer windings at STK. This is due to the relatively higher resistance of these windings, compared to other transformers at STK, and the relatively higher resistance of the 66 kV transmission lines compared to the 220 kV transmission lines. The GIC through STKT3H is higher than that through the low voltage common winding. The difference between the two currents ($I_{T3H} - I_{T3L}$) flows into STKT3H from the 66 kV transmission lines.

704 **5 Discussion**

688

Comparisons of the modeled GICs in this study with observations of GICs made by 705 Transpower [Rodger et al., 2017] show a reasonable agreement with the reported typical re-706 lationship between GIC magnitude at HWBT4L and ISLT6H. Rodger et al. [2017] compared 707 the observed GICs at ISLT6 and HWBT4 during five large space weather events occurring 708 between 17 March 2013 and 22 June 2015. In these events the peak GIC flowing through 709 HWBT4 was ~3 times that flowing through ISLT6H. By comparison, the ratio of modeled 710 GIC through ISLT6H and HWBT4L is 2. While the modeled and observed ratio are not in 711 full agreement, they are certainly comparable given the inherent simplifications made and are 712 a great improvement over the earlier substation-level results. 713

714 It is possible that some of the difference between measured and modeled GIC ratios at 715 different transformers is due to the modeling assumption that the magnetic field is spatially uniform in a single orientation. If the magnetic field variations were stronger in the south
of the South Island during a geomagnetic storm, and varied in time with the changing orientation of the geomagnetic disturbance, it could account for the increased observed GIC
at HWB compared to ISL that is not seen in the modeled GIC. Further work to represent a
more realistic spatial and temporal variation of the magnetic field, for example during the St.
Patrick's Day 2015 storm is ongoing.

However, the modeled transformer-level GIC does a far better job of capturing the ob-722 served ratio of GICs at ISLT6H to HWBT4L than the substation-level GIC does. The highest 723 modeled transformer-level GIC at a site where Transpower measure GIC is at HWBT4L, matching observations of the 2 October 2013 event. Mac Manus et al. [2017] showed that 725 the peak GIC observed at HWBT4 was 48.9 A, compared to the next highest observed GIC 726 of 19.1 at ISLT6. On the other hand, the modeled *substation-level* GIC at HWB is actually 727 smaller than that at ISL, where HWB GIC is 0.67 of the GIC at ISL. Further, using the com-728 mon assumption that each substation can be represented by a single resistance of 0.5 Ω , this 729 ratio (0.33) was even further from the observations. Hence, the transformer-level GICs cal-720 culated in the present study leads to a large improvement in capturing the difference between GIC at different locations on the network when compared to models where the substation is 732 assumed to be a single resistance to Earth. Ratios of observed GICs through transformers 733 within ISL during five recent storms show that some of the modeled ratios of GIC are close 734 to observations while the ratio of GIC through ISLT3H to ISLT6H does not match observa-735 tions well. We are working with Transpower to understand this difference. It is not clear why 736 the GIC through ISLT6H is so much higher than ISLT3H and ISLT7H based on the reported 737 resistances for these transformers. 738

Significantly, our method correctly represents the transformer resistance between sub 739 sections of the transmission network that are at different voltages. The transformer-level net-740 work representation provides a more complete representation of the resistance to current 741 between these sub sections of the network compared to a network where each substation is 742 assumed to be a single resistance to Earth. In the INV example substation in Figure 2 the re-743 sistance of the autotransformer T1H connects the 220 kV and 110 kV nodes, providing extra resistance to GIC flowing from 220 kV transmission lines to the 110 kV transmission lines, 745 or vice-versa. Normal transformers such as T3 at ISL impede current flow between sub sec-746 tions even further, as they have two windings separating the buses at different voltages. This 747 resistance between voltages is not represented in previous substation-level network represen-748 tations. Hence, the substation-level network representation would be expected to calculate 749 higher GICs for the low voltage sections of the network, compared to the transformer-level 750 calculations in the present paper. However, it is worth noting that it is uncommon for Space Weather researchers to have access to the level of detail that we have for the New Zealand 752 network [Hapgood and Knipp, 2016], as such other regions may not be able to develop such 753 a detailed representation. 754

755 6 Conclusions

We have modeled transformer-level GICs in the South Island of New Zealand's high 756 voltage transmission network. The geoelectric field for the New Zealand region was calcu-757 lated using the thin-sheet method of Vasseur and Weidelt [1977] by Divett et al. [2017]. The 758 geomagnetic field variation was assumed to be spatially uniform across the whole domain, 759 with a magnitude of 500 nT in the direction 50° east of north at a period of 10 min. This 760 single period provides a peak dB/dt = 50 nT/min. We chose this magnitude and period to 761 be representative of a moderately large storm without attempting to capture the spatial and 762 temporal variability of any particular geomagnetic storm event. This is an intentional ide-763 alization in order to clarify and simplify the presentation of the transformer-level network 764 representation and calculations. 765

The modeled electric field was applied to the modified LP85 model. We modified the network representation developed by LP85 to account for a single phase of every transformer in the network to give a transformer-level network representation of the South Island transmission network. This more detailed representation is based on the methods for representing autotransformers and normal transformers in *Boteler and Pirjola* [2014]. DC resistances for transformers, a single phase of the three-phase transmission lines, and Earth Grid Resistances were supplied by Transpower. We calculated the GIC through each transformer winding using Boteler and Pirjola's (2017) extension of LP85's method.

The modeling indicates that the transformer-level GIC is highest at the STK substation, which is not a location where GICs are being monitored at this time. As a result of this study we have recommended future monitoring of GIC at STK. Apart from STK, HWBT4 had the highest modeled GIC, matching observations of GIC during the 2 Oct 2013 event. The ratio of modeled GIC at HWBT4 to ISLT6 is 2. This is close to the ratio of 3 found by *Rodger et al.* [2017] for 2 years of observations at the two substations. It is certainly an improvement compared to the factor of 0.67 if we compare results at the substation-level only. This shows the importance of calculating transformer-level GIC.

The modeled GIC flowing through each transformer winding within a substation can 782 be quite different even at the same substation. In fact, due to network configuration and 783 transformer connections within a substation, the current through series and common wind-784 ings of a single autotransformer can be quite different. Using the block diagrams of Figures 785 4 to 6 we can explain how the differences in transformer-level GICs occur due to the combination of resistances and connections of transformers within a substation. This supports and 787 helps explain the observational findings of Mac Manus et al. [2017] and Rodger et al. [2017]. 788 We believe that this is the first time that modeled transformer-level GICs, calculated using an 789 electric field that included the variations due to ground conductance, have been compared to 790 an extensive set of GIC measurements. 791

At both STK and HWB the highest GICs flow through the series winding of an auto-792 transformer where there are multiple transformers connecting more than one set of trans-793 mission lines with different voltages. Not surprisingly, in each case it is the path to ground 794 with the lowest total resistance that is susceptible to the highest GIC. In contrast, at ISL the 795 transformer-level GIC is lower because it is distributed across several parallel normal trans-796 formers that are earthed through neutral earth resistors. Autotransformers provide a more 797 direct path for GIC to flow between transmission lines at different voltages, compared to normal transformers where the GIC flows through both windings and the Earth bus. Thus the 799 transformers that are most susceptible to GIC in a network are those with a combination of 800 three factors: connections to transmission lines that traverse regions of high electric field, 801 transformer configuration, and low transformer resistance. 802

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Transpower New Zealand for supporting this study. This research was supported by the New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment Hazards and Infrastructure Research Fund Contract UOOX1502. Gemma Richardson, Ciaran Beggan and Alan Thomson were partly supported under NERC grant NE/P017231/1: Space Weather Impacts on Ground-based Systems (SWIGS).

The South Island electrical transmission network's DC characteristics and DC mea-809 surements were provided to us by Transpower New Zealand with caveats and restrictions. 810 This includes requirements of permission before all publications and presentations. In ad-811 dition, we are unable to provide the full New Zealand network characteristics directly. Re-812 quests for access to these characteristics need to be made to Transpower New Zealand. At 813 this time the contact point is Michael Dalzell (Michael.Dalzell@transpower.co.nz). We are 814 very grateful for the substantial data access they have provided, noting this can be a challenge 815 in the Space Weather field [Hapgood and Knipp, 2016]. 816

817	References
818	Bailey, R. L., T. S. Halbedl, I. Schattauer, A. Römer, G. Achleitner, C. D. Beggan,
819	V. Wesztergom, R. Egli, and R. Leonhardt (2017), Modelling geomagnetically induced
820	currents in mid-latitude Central Europe using a thin-sheet approach, Ann. Geophysicae, 5,
821	751–761, doi:10.5194/angeo-35-751-2017.
822	Beggan, C. D., D. Beamish, A. Richards, G. S. Kelly, and A. W. Thomson (2013), Predic-
823	tion of extreme geomagnetically induced currents in the UK high-voltage network, Space
824	Weather, 11(7), 407–419, doi:10.1002/swe.20065.
825	Béland, J., and K. Small (2004), Space Weather Effects on Power Transmission Systems:
826	The Cases of Hydro-Québec and Transpower New Zealand Ltd, in Effects of Space
827	Weather on Technology Infrastructure, pp. 287–299, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dor-
828	drecht.
829	Blake, S. P., P. T. Gallagher, J. McCauley, A. G. Jones, C. Hogg, J. Campanyà, C. D. Beg-
830	gan, A. W. P. Thomson, G. S. Kelly, and D. Bell (2016), Geomagnetically induced cur-
831	rents in the Irish power network during geomagnetic storms, Space Weather, 14, 1-19,
832	doi:10.1002/2016SW001534.
833	Boteler, D. (2014), Methodology for simulation of geomagnetically induced currents
834	in power systems, Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate, 4(A21), A21, doi:
835	10.1051/swsc/2014018.
836	Boteler, D. H., and R. J. Pirjola (2014), Comparison of methods for modelling geomagneti-
837	cally induced currents, Annales Geophysicae, 32(9), 1177–1187, doi:10.5194/angeo-32-
838	1177-2014.
839	Boteler, D. H., and R. J. Pirjola (2017), Modeling geomagnetically induced currents, <i>Space</i>
840	Weather, 15(1), 258–276, doi:10.1002/2016SW001499.
841	Butala, M. D., M. Kazerooni, J. J. Makela, F. Kamalabadi, J. L. Gannon, H. Zhu, and T. J.
842	Overbye (2017), Modeling Geomagnetically Induced Currents from Magnetometer Mea-
843	surements: Spatial Scale Assessed with Reference Measurements, <i>Space Weather</i> , doi:
844	10.1002/2017SW001602.
845	Chamalaun, F., and D. McKnight (1993), A New Zealand wide magnetometer array study.,
846	Journal of Geomagnetism and Geoelectricity, 45, 741–759.
847	Divett, I., M. Ingham, C. D. Beggan, G. S. Richardson, C. J. Rodger, A. W. P. Thomson, and
848	M. Dalzell (2017), Modeling Geoelectric Fields and Geomagnetically induced Currents
849	Around New Zealand to Explore OIC in the South Island's Electrical Transmission Net- work Space Weather 15(10), 1206, 1412, doi:10.1002/2017SW001607
850	Eximple L A L C Kappannan and W A Badasky (2002) Management of the geomeg
851	netically induced current risks on the national grid company's electric power transmis
852	sion system Journal of Atmospheric and Solar Terrestrial Physics 64(5-6) 743-756 doi:
954	10 1016/S1364-6826(02)00036-6
955	Guile A and W Paterson (1977) <i>Flectrical power systems vol</i> 2 2nd ed Pergamon Press
856	Oxford
957	Hangood M and D I Kninn (2016) Data Citation and Availability. Striking a Bal-
858	ance Between the Ideal and the Practical Space Weather 14(11) 919–920 doi:
859	10.1002/2016SW001553.
860	Horton, R., D. Boteler, T. J. Overbye, R. Piriola, and R. C. Dugan (2012). A Test Case for the
861	Calculation of Geomagnetically Induced Currents, <i>IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery</i> .
862	27(4), 2368–2373, doi:10.1109/TPWRD.2012.2206407.
863	Hussein, A. A. (2016), Novel solutions to suppress adverse effects of geomagnetically in-
864	duced current (GIC) on power systems, Phd, The University of Memphis.
865	Koen, J., and T. Gaunt (2003), Geomagnetically induced currents in the Southern African
866	electricity transmission network, in 2003 IEEE Bologna Power Tech Conference Proceed-
867	ings,, vol. 1, pp. 408–414, IEEE, doi:10.1109/PTC.2003.1304165.
868	Lehtinen, M., and R. Pirjola (1985), Currents produced in earthed conductor networks by
869	geomagnetically-induced electric fields, Annales Geophysicae, 3(4), 479–484.

870	Liu, CM., LG. Liu, R. Pirjola, and ZZ. Wang (2009), Calculation of geomagnetically
871	induced currents in mid- to low-latitude power grids based on the plane wave method: A
872	preliminary case study, <i>Space weather</i> , doi:10.1029/20085w000439.
873	Mac Manus, D. H., C. J. Rodger, M. Dalzell, A. W. P. Thomson, M. A. Clilverd, I. Petersen,
874	M. M. Wolf, N. R. Thomson, and T. Divett (2017), Long term Geomagnetically Induced
875	Current Observations from New Zealand: Earth return Corrections and Comparison with
876	Geomagnetic Field Driver, <i>Space weather</i> , <i>15</i> , doi:10.1002/2017Sw001055.
877	marshall, K. A., M. Dalzell, C. L. waters, P. Goldinorpe, and E. A. Smith (2012), Geomag-
878	Rencarry induced currents in the New Zealand power network, <i>Space weather</i> , 10(8),
879	Marshall D. A. H. Cornial: T. Van Dar Welt, C. L. Watara, M. D. Saiffar, M. Miller
880	M Dalzell T Daly G Pouferie G Hesse and P Wilkinson (2013) Observations of ge
881	omagnetically induced currents in the Australian power network Space Weather 11(1)
882	6–16 doi:10.1029/2012SW000849
003	Mckay A. I. (2003). PhD Thesis: Geoelectric Fields and Geomagnetically Induced Currents
885	in the United Kingdom. Phd thesis. University of Edinburgh.
886	Mckay, A. J., and K. A. Whaler (2006). The electric field in northern England and southern
887	Scotland: Implications for geomagnetically induced currents, <i>Geophysical Journal Inter-</i>
888	national, 167(2), 613-625, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03128.x.
889	Overbye, T. J., T. R. Hutchins, K. Shetye, J. Weber, and S. Dahman (2012), Integration of
890	geomagnetic disturbance modeling into the power flow: A methodology for large-scale
891	system studies, in 2012 North American Power Symposium (NAPS), pp. 1-7, IEEE, doi:
892	10.1109/NAPS.2012.6336365.
893	Parkinson, W. D., and F. W. Jones (1979), The Geomagnetic Coast Effect, Review of Geo-
894	physics, 17(8), 1999–2015, doi:10.1029/RG017i008p01999.
895	Richardson, G., and C. Beggan (2017), Validation of geomagnetically induced current
896	modelling code, Internal Report IR/17/009, <i>Tech. rep.</i> , British Geological Survey.
897	http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/519956
898	Rodger, C. J., D. H. Mac Manus, M. Dalzell, A. W. P. Thomson, E. Clarke, T. Petersen,
899	M. A. Chiverd, and T. Divett (2017), Long-term Geomagnetically Induced Current Ob-
900 901	Space Weather, 15, 1–14, doi:10.1002/2017SW001691.
902	Shetye, K. S., and T. J. Overbye (2015), Parametric steady-state voltage stability assessment
903	of power systems using benchmark scenarios of geomagnetic disturbances, in 2015 IEEE
904	Power and Energy Conference at Illinois (PECI), February, pp. 1–7, IEEE, Champaign,
905	IL, doi:10.1109/PECI.2015.7064886.
906	Torta, J. M., L. Serrano, J. R. Regué, A. M. Sánchez, and E. Roldán (2012), Geomagnetically
907	induced currents in a power grid of northeastern Spain, Space Weather, 10(6), 1-11, doi:
908	10.1029/2012SW000793.
909	Trivedi, N. B., I. Vitorello, W. Kabata, S. L. G. Dutra, A. L. Padilha, M. S. Bologna, M. B.
910	de Pádua, A. P. Soares, G. S. Luz, F. d. A. Pinto, R. Pirjola, and A. Viljanen (2007), Geo-
911	magnetically induced currents in an electric power transmission system at low latitudes in $D_{\rm eff}$ is the factor of the fac
912	Brazii: A case study, <i>Space Weather</i> , $5(4)$, $n/a-n/a$, doi:10.1029/2006SW000282.
913	Vasseur, G., and P. Weidelt (1977), Bimodal electromagnetic induction in non-uniform thin
914	sneets with an application to the northern Pyrenean induction anomaly, Geophysical Jour-

nal International, 51(3), 669–690, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1977.tb04213.x.