A case study of electron precipitation fluxes due to plasmaspheric hiss
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Abstract.

We find that during a large geomagnetic storm in October 2011 the trapped fluxes of >30, >100, and >300 keV outer radiation belt electrons were enhanced at L=3-4 during the storm main phase. A gradual decay of the trapped fluxes was observed over the following 5-7 days, even though no significant precipitation fluxes could be observed in the Polar Orbiting Environmental Satellite (POES) electron precipitation detectors. We use the Antarctic-Arctic Radiation-belt (Dynamic) Deposition - VLF Atmospheric Research Konsortium (AARDDVARK) receiver network to investigate the characteristics of the electron precipitation throughout the storm period. Weak electron precipitation was observed on the dayside for 5-7 days, consistent with being driven by plasmaspheric hiss. Using a previously published plasmaspheric hiss-induced electron energy e-folding spectrum of $E_0=365$ keV, the observed radiowave perturbation levels at L=3-4 were found to be caused by >30 keV electron precipitation with flux ~100 el. cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$. The low levels of precipitation explain the lack of response of the POES telescopes to the flux, because of the effect of the POES lower sensitivity limit and ability to measure weak diffusion-driven precipitation. The detection of dayside, inner
plasmasphere electron precipitation during the recovery phase of the storm is consistent with plasmaspheric hiss wave-particle interactions, and shows that the waves can be a significant influence on the evolution of the outer radiation belt trapped flux that resides inside the plasmapause.
1. Introduction

During geomagnetic storms the flux of energetic electrons trapped in the outer radiation belt ($L=3-8$) often increases but can also decrease [Reeves et al., 2003]. During the same events energetic electron precipitation flux into the atmosphere typically intensifies over a large range of geomagnetic latitudes with significant fluxes over a range of energies [Neal et al., 2015]. The overall dynamics of the outer radiation belt is a delicate interplay between source, transport, and loss processes, all of which are amplified during geomagnetic storms [Thorne et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2014 and references therein]. Part of the complexity of this process is the structure and location of the underlying cold plasma in the plasmasphere, which has a strong influence on the efficiency of wave-particle interactions [Summers et al., 2007]. The plasmaspheric outer boundary, known as the plasmapause [Carpenter, 1963], provides a line of demarcation between regions of high and low electron plasma frequency, but its location is highly variable dependent on geomagnetic activity levels [Carpenter and Anderson, 1992]. During geomagnetic storms the plasmapause can move from its non-disturbed $L\sim 5$ location to positions as low as $L\sim 2$ [O'Brien and Moldwin, 2003].

Cyclotron resonant wave-particle interactions respond differently to the differing electron gyrofrequency conditions due to plasma density changes either side of the plasmapause. VLF chorus waves dominate the interaction processes outside, plasmaspheric hiss dominate inside the plasmapause, and electron magnetic ion-cyclotron waves appear most significant on the plasmapause [Summers et al., 2007]. During large geomagnetic storms localised regions of the outer radiation belt can experience large changes in trapped flux levels, as well as wave-particle interaction processes that change as the storm evolves. These factors can make the attribution
of the primary driving factors difficult to identify, and the evolution of trapped and precipitated fluxes through a geomagnetic storm period hard to predict [Reeves et al., 2003].

The influence of plasmaspheric hiss on electron precipitation in the $L=3-4$ region has been assessed using pitch angle diffusion codes with wave power distributions based on satellite observations [see Meredith et al., 2006a and references therein]. Plasmaspheric hiss (<1 kHz) was found to be confined to the high density plasmasphere, with wave amplitudes an order of magnitude higher on the dayside (06-18 MLT) than the nightside, particularly during geomagnetic storms [Meredith et al., 2006b]. Meredith et al. [2006a] calculated that during geo-magnetically active periods plasmaspheric hiss propagating at small wave normal angles could influence electron precipitation rates from 100-2000 keV, over the L-shell range of $L=3-4$. Meredith et al. [2006b] calculated that for electron precipitation energies of >500 keV loss timescales could be of the order of 1 day, while for 100-500 keV loss timescales were of the order of 10 days. At $L$-shells less than $L=3$ the loss timescales were ~100 days or more for energies <1 MeV.

The electron precipitation spectrum driven by plasmaspheric hiss was inferred by Rodger et al. [2007] using data from the CRRES and DEMETER electron detectors, and confirmed with ground-based narrow-band radiowave observations. The spectrum of precipitating electrons was found to have an e-folding energy of 365 keV over the energy range of 100-2000 keV. Plasmaspheric hiss-induced daytime electron precipitation fluxes of $\sim 10^3$ cl cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ >150 keV were estimated at $L=3.2$ during the recovery phase of a large geomagnetic storm (Dst ~-120 nT) in September 2005. The characteristics of electron precipitation due to plasmaspheric hiss between $L=3-4$ were also investigated by Whittaker et al. [2014]. Using a superposed epoch analysis of electron precipitation observations made by the Polar Orbiting Environmental
Satellite (POES) electron telescopes a >300 keV precipitating population was found with very little precipitation observed in the range 30-300 keV. A study of a conjunction event between the Van Allen Probes and POES showed plasmaspheric hiss-driven electron precipitation >30 and >100 keV inside the plasmapause, but also at $L>4$ when the plasmapause was at $L>5.8$ [Li et al., 2014]. Peak plasmaspheric hiss wave power was observed at 100-200 Hz, which would undergo cyclotron resonance with electrons of ~100 keV at $L=4$ [Bortnik et al., 2011].

When energetic electron precipitation enters the atmosphere it generates excess ionisation at altitudes that are dependent on the electron energy [Turunen et al., 2009]. The ionisation increases generate odd nitrogen ($NO_x$) and odd hydrogen ($HO_x$) species. These species can catalytically destroy ozone with reaction efficiency dependent on altitude, and solar photolysis conditions [Brasseur and Solomon, 2005]. The impact of electron precipitation has been observed, in terms of generating NOx [Seppälä et al., 2007], HOx [Verronen et al., 2011], and destroying ozone [Andersson et al., 2014]. Ozone is an important constituent of the atmosphere, absorbing energy from the UV part of the solar spectrum, and contributing to the radiation balance of the climate system [Brasseur and Solomon, 2005]. The introduction of increased levels of NOx at ~80 km altitudes in coupled climate models has been shown to modify polar surface temperatures on seasonal timescales [Rosonov et al., 2005]. The same surface geomagnetic activity-driven temperature modification was identified by Seppälä et al. [2009] using meteorological re-analysis data, and further modelling efforts confirmed the linkage between energetic particle precipitation and surface effects [Baumgaertner et al., 2011]. The local time, geographic latitude and longitude of energetic particle precipitation is an important factor in the amount of chemical change caused in the atmosphere. Thus the dynamics of the outer radiation belt and the underlying plasmasphere play an important role in
determining the efficiency of the coupling between space weather effects and its atmospheric effect [Clilverd et al., 2015].

In this study, we investigate the effects of a large geomagnetic storm that occurred on 25 October 2011, with particular focus on the impact of the dynamic plasmapause location. We analyse the observation of a large increase in trapped radiation belt flux at $L=3$-4, probably as a result of whistler mode chorus-driven acceleration. This was then followed by a gradual decline to pre-storm flux levels even though no significant precipitation fluxes could be observed in the POES electron precipitation detectors. We use the Antarctic-Arctic Radiation-belt (Dynamic) Deposition - VLF Atmospheric Research Konsortium (AARDDVARK) receiver network to investigate the characteristics of the electron precipitation throughout the storm period. We show that initial large electron precipitation fluxes at $L=3$-4 during the nighttime are constrained to the storm main phase. Weaker, longer-lasting electron precipitation occurs on the day side, probably driven by plasmaspheric hiss. The characteristics of each type of precipitation are determined, and we investigate if the observed precipitation into the atmosphere could account for the decay of the trapped fluxes after the storm.

2. Experimental setup

To study the energetic electron precipitation fluxes into the atmosphere during the October-November 2011 period we use narrow band subionospheric very low frequency (VLF) data spanning 24-25 kHz received at Forks, Seattle, Washington (geographic 47°56’N, 124°24’W, $L=2.9$) and Ministik Lake, Edmonton, Canada (geographic 53°21’N, 112°58’W, $L=4.0$). The Forks and Ministik sites are part of the AARDDVARK network (see Clilverd et al. [2009]; for further information see the description of the array at www.physics.otago.ac.nz/space/AARDDVARK_homepage.htm). The transmitters studied
have call signs NAA (24.0 kHz, geographic 44°39’N, 67°17’W, \(L=2.9\)), and NDK (25.2 kHz, geographic 46°22’N, 98°20’W, \(L=3.1\)). Figure 1 shows the locations of the Forks, Seattle, and Ministik Lake, Edmonton radio-wave receiver sites (circles), and the transmitter-receiver paths that are studied during the event period (the NAA and NDK transmitter locations are shown by the triangles). Selected \(L\)-shell contours are also shown, with a typical location of the non-disturbed plasmapause given by the blue-dashed line. The VLF propagation paths span the range \(3<L<4.6\), effectively integrating the effects of subionospheric electron precipitation from the outer radiation belt inside of the plasmapause, particularly during non-disturbed conditions.

Figure 2, upper panel, shows the varying geomagnetic activity conditions during the 18 October – 14 November 2011 period that is studied in this paper. A large disturbance in the geomagnetic activity index, Dst, is seen to start on 24 October, quickly reaching values \(<-100\text{ nT}.\) Recovery from the geomagnetic storm continues from 25-30 October, with a smaller disturbance beginning on 01 November.

In this study we also make use of particle measurements by the Space Environment Monitor-2 instrument package onboard the POES spacecraft as described in detail in Simon Wedlund et al. [2014]. The detectors pointing in the 0º and 90º directions are ±15º wide. Modeling has been used to determine the radiation-belt populations monitored by the telescopes [Rodger et al., 2010a, 2010b]. For the \(L\)-shells that we consider the 90º-detector appears to primarily respond to trapped electrons, although that does include a proportion of pitch angles that are only just above the loss-cone, and hence we will refer to it as the "quasi-trapped detector". In contrast, the 0º-detector views inside the bounce loss cone (BLC), and provides a measurement of some fraction of the precipitating electron population. Hence we will refer to it as the "precipitating detector". It is widely accepted that the noise floor of the instrument is 100-200 el.cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)
[Neal et al., 2015], with some authors using values as high as 500 el.cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) [Li et al., 2014]. In addition during periods of weak diffusion where the loss cone is not uniformly filled it has been found that POES may fail to detect some or all of the electrons close to the upper edge of the bounce loss cone fluxes which are precipitating into the atmosphere [Hargreaves et al., 2010]. The fluxes of >30 keV electrons may need to be as high as \(10^5\) el. cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) before the bounce loss cone is uniformly filled [Rodger et al., 2013].

In Figure 2 we also show the >100 keV POES quasi-trapped (middle panel) and precipitating (lower panel) electron fluxes as a function of \(L\)-shell during the study period. The format of this type of plot is described in detail by Wedlund et al. [2014]. Several enhancements in flux can be seen in the quasi-trapped fluxes, particularly on 24 October 2011 and again on 01 November. The precipitating fluxes also increase on these dates. A modeled plasmapause location using the Kp-driven O’Brien and Moldwin plasmapause model [O’Brien and Moldwin, 2003] is plotted on both panels, and indicates that the majority of the electron precipitation takes place outside of the plasmapause. Significant quasi-trapped electron fluxes are observed inside of the plasmapause (\(L=3\)-4.5) following the geomagnetic disturbance on 24 October, with flux levels gradually decreasing towards the end of October. However, no resulting increase in precipitation into the atmosphere is observed by POES in that time period. The quasi-trapped >30 keV fluxes declined by about one order of magnitude during the 6 day recovery period after the storm on 24 October, until being interrupted by another geomagnetic disturbance. The quasi-trapped >100, and >300 keV fluxes also declined during the 6 day period, but showed signs of initial increases for the first few days.

3. Results
Sub-ionospheric radio waves observed by the AARDDVARK network propagate from a transmitter to a receiver. Any electron precipitation occurring along the great circle path from transmitter to receiver will cause changes in the received amplitude of the radio waves if the energy of the electron is such that excess ionization is created at or below the lower edge of the D-region ionosphere. Figure 3 (upper panels) shows the amplitude variation of the NAA and NDK transmitters received at Forks, Seattle. The amplitude data is presented with 0.5 hour resolution, and the colour scales represent voltage relative to an arbitrary level (in dB). The x-axis shows UT time, while the y-axis displays the dates from 18 October 2011 to 05 November 2011. NAA-Forks, Seattle is displayed on the left hand side. The $L$-shell range of the propagation path is $L=2.9-4.0$ (see Figure 1). NDK-Forks, Seattle is on the right, and the $L$-shell range of the propagation path is $L=2.9-3.1$, well within the plasmapause apart from during the most intense phase of the storm (see Figure 2). A horizontal white line indicates the day of the geomagnetic storm onset as shown in Figure 2. Daytime ionospheric propagation conditions are observed from 14-22 UT, and nighttime conditions occur from 02-10 UT. The three periods of low amplitude (e.g., 11-19 UT on NDK) are the weekly off-air periods that the transmitters undergo. In the NAA-Seattle panel a clear decrease in amplitude is observed during the night immediately following the start of the storm, with a further period of increased amplitudes following immediately afterward. During the daytime the amplitudes are observed to increase (from blue to green) a day or so after the start of the storm, remaining elevated until about 30 October. The NDK-Seattle amplitude variation is similarly elevated during the daytime after the storm, but is also elevated at night at the start of the storm, opposite to the behavior seen in NAA-Seattle.
The lower two panels of Figure 3 show time slices of amplitude perturbation relative to non-disturbed levels at 06 UT and 19 UT, blue representing night, and orange representing daytime propagation conditions on the paths (equivalent to 22-23 MLT and 11-12 MLT, respectively). The non-disturbed levels are obtained by averaging the amplitude measurements during quiet periods at the specified local times. The time slices clearly show the large nighttime perturbations at the peak of the geomagnetic storm indicated by the vertical dashed line. Small positive perturbations in daytime amplitudes can be observed, particularly for NAA-Seattle, lasting 6 days after the main phase of the storm. The lack of any significant daytime response in the NDK-Seattle path is likely to be due to the low $L$-shell of the path ($L=2.9-3.1$) being close to the inner edge of the precipitation region.

Figure 4 is a similar format as Figure 3, although in this case the propagation paths are NAA and NDK received at Ministik Lake, Edmonton. The $L$-shell ranges of the propagation paths are $L=3.1-4.0$ for NDK-Edmonton, while NAA-Edmonton is $L=2.9-4.6$, and this represents a path that passes close to the footprint of the non-disturbed plasmapause. Once again post-storm increases in amplitude can be observed during the day, typically lasting 6 days or so until the end of October. At night NAA-Edmonton exhibits a large positive perturbation during the main phase of the storm, and NDK-Edmonton shows a large negative perturbation.

The main features exhibited by the four transmitter-receiver paths shown here suggest two outstanding characteristics. At night, around 00 MLT, there are strong disturbances co-incident with the main phase of the storm on 25 October. This is consistent with the inward movement of the plasmapause to lower $L$-shells during the geomagnetic storm, and the impact of electron precipitation from outside of the plasmapause on the propagation paths as suggested by Figure 2. During the day, around 12 MLT, there are perturbations observed after the main phase of the
storm, lasting for 5 or more days. During this period the plasmapause is likely to be significantly poleward of the $L=2.9-4.6$ propagation paths as suggested by Figure 2, and dayside precipitation from inside of the plasmasphere is causing the observed perturbations.

4. Electron precipitation flux from NDK and NAA observations

The VLF wave propagation of NDK and NAA to either Seattle or Edmonton is calculated using the Long Wave Propagation Code [LWPC, *Ferguson and Snyder*, 1990], which models VLF signal propagation from any point on Earth to any other point as described in detail by *Simon Wedlund et al.* [2014]. To model the perturbation we assume that the whole path is affected by excess ionization which is superimposed on the underlying "ambient" ionosphere. This process has been described most recently in *Rodger et al.* [2013] and *Simon Wedlund et al.* [2014], and will only be very briefly summarized here. The sharpness parameter $\beta$ and a reference height $h'$ [*Wait and Spies*, 1964] of the non-disturbed ionospheric profiles are given by *McRae and Thomson* [2000], or *Thomson and McRae* [2009], or *Thomson et al.* [2011] depending on the local time being modeled. An excess ionization rate is calculated from the precipitating energetic electrons which have a spectral gradient varying with a power law scaling exponent ($k$). The electron number density profiles determined for varying precipitation flux magnitudes and varying $k$ are used as input to the LWPC subionospheric propagation model.

4.1 Modelling the nighttime perturbations

The nighttime perturbations on the $L=2.9-4.6$ propagation paths studied here are primarily caused by electron precipitation from outside of the plasmapause most likely driven by chorus waves [*Horne*, 2002]. In this study the chorus-driven electron precipitation only affects the 4 propagation paths during the most intense period of the geomagnetic storm, when the
plasmapause is pushed inwards to $L < 3$. In Figure 2 the $>100$ keV electron POES precipitating fluxes between $L = 3-4.5$ during the night of 25 October 2011 are $\sim 5 \times 10^3$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, with similarly high fluxes observed in the $>30$ and $>300$ keV detectors. High precipitating flux levels observed by POES during large geomagnetic storms are consistent with strong scattering conditions and a near-uniform distribution of flux across the loss-cone pitch angle range [Hargreaves et al., 2010; Rodger et al., 2013; Simon Wedlund et al., 2014; Neal et al., 2015]. Thus we can use the POES $>30$, $>100$, and $>300$ keV measurements to accurately determine the energy spectrum of the precipitating electrons. During the period 00-09 UT on 25 October 2011, and over the L-shell range $L = 3.0-4.5$, the power law spectral gradient ($k$) was -3. This is in good agreement with the spectral gradient of electron precipitation generated by chorus during high geomagnetic activity conditions determined by Simon Wedlund et al. [2014]. The $k = -3$ power-law spectral gradient was used to calculate the perturbation effect on each radio wave propagation path using this spectrum, and over a range of flux values. The spectral range was limited to 10-3000 keV, and the flux magnitude range to $10^{-1}-10^6$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for $>30$ keV electrons. The ambient ionosphere in this case was given by a previously reported night time profile [Thomson et al., 2007].

Figure 5 shows the amplitude perturbations as a function of chorus-induced flux magnitude for each transmitter-receiver path. The lowest average $L$-shell path (NDK-Seattle, $L = 2.9-3.1$) is shown in the upper left, while the highest average $L$-shell path (NAA-Edmonton, $L = 2.9-4.6$) is shown in the lower right. The lowest $L$-shell of any of the propagation paths is $L = 2.9$ which, as shown in Figure 2 (lower panel), is close to the $L$-shell of the calculated plasmapause location at the peak of the geomagnetic storm. Thus we can reasonably assume that chorus-driven precipitation outside of the plasmasphere is acting over the whole of the propagation paths
discussed here. Dependent on path, the amplitude perturbations vary from positive or negative changes, and can be either big or small. For the two mid-range paths (NAA-Seattle and NDK-Edmonton) the observed perturbations of -5 db and -10 dB respectively indicate that >30 keV fluxes of \( \sim 10^4 \) el. cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) are involved over the range \( L=3-4 \). On the \( L=3 \) path (NDK-Seattle) the observed perturbation of +6 dB is not reproduced in the modeling using a \( k=-3 \) spectrum, but would be possible if the spectrum was softer, i.e., \( k=-4 \) with a >30 keV flux magnitude of \( \sim 10^3 \) el.cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\). Modeling of the highest average \( L \)-shell path (NAA-Edmonton) results in negative perturbations for most imposed fluxes. However, the observations suggest a +10 dB perturbation effect. At present we are unable to model the observed NAA-Edmonton night time amplitude perturbation on this path even when other spectral gradient values are investigated. The cause of this difficulty in modeling the NAA-Edmonton path is probably due to uncertainties in the LWPC surface conductivity values as the propagation path crosses the wet, peaty soil of the region to the south of the Hudson Bay. This causes extra mode conversion (because the ground is not uniform over distances as small as 10’s of km); this additional mode conversion will likely be more significant at night because so many more modes survive over significant distances at night as compared with day [Thomson, N.R., personal communication, 2015]. However, the results from the three other paths suggest that >30 keV electron fluxes with magnitude \( \sim 10^4 \) el.cm\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) are precipitated into the atmosphere at \( L=3-4 \) during the main phase of the geomagnetic storm on 25 October 2011. These findings are in agreement with the observed zonally averaged fluxes reported by POES in the same \( L \)-shell range, consistent with strong chorus-driven wave-particle diffusion conditions uniformly filling the loss-cone. At the lower edge of the study region provided by the various propagation paths (\( L=3 \)) the fluxes are found to be lower, and the spectral gradient
is steeper, although this is not observed by POES as the >100 and >300 precipitation fluxes are affected by the instrument sensitivity limit.

### 4.2 Modeling the daytime perturbations

The daytime perturbations on the $L=2.9-4.6$ propagation paths studied here are primarily caused by electron precipitation from inside of the plasmapause most likely driven by plasmaspheric hiss waves [Smith et al., 1974]. We have inspected CLUSTER spacecraft data using the STAFF-SA and WHISPER instruments [Santolik et al., 2006] during the period following the geomagnetic storm on 25 October 2011. Plasmaspheric hiss was observed on 26, 28, and 30 October, primarily on the dayside (07-15 MLT), and at $L<3.7$. Thus the CLUSTER spacecraft observations are consistent with the idea that plasmaspheric hiss is present within the dayside plasmasphere, and could be taking part in wave-particle interactions that drive electron precipitation following the geomagnetic storm. In order to be able to model the response of the four transmitter-receiver paths studied here a characteristic spectrum needs to be applied.

Dayside electron precipitation at $L\sim 3$ driven by plasmaspheric hiss was investigated by Rodger et al. [2008] using DEMETER and CRESS satellite data, confirming the observations with ground-based AARDDVARK data. The electron precipitation spectrum observed was a 365 keV e-folding type. Here we calculate the perturbation effect on each radio wave propagation path using this spectrum and over a range of flux values. As before the spectral range was limited to 10-3000 keV, and the >30keV flux magnitude range to $10^{-1}$-$10^{6}$ el. cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The ambient ionosphere is specified using daytime ionospheric parameters to describe the conditions along the path [Thomson et al., 2011].

Figure 6 shows the variation in amplitude for all four paths as the precipitation flux is varied. Typically the amplitude perturbation is positive, and increases with increasing flux. In section 3
we showed that daytime perturbation values were ~2-3 dB for the NAA-Seattle path ($L=2.9-4.0$), which this modeling shows is indicative of $>30$keV flux magnitude values of $\sim 10^2$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. This is close to the sensitivity limit of POES and would explain why no precipitating fluxes could be observed by the satellite detectors. The calculated fluxes were highest on 27 October and 29-30 October. The NDK-Edmonton path covering a similar L-shell range ($L=3.1-4.1$) showed daytime perturbations of 3-5 dB, which also correspond to $>30$keV flux magnitudes of $\sim 10^2$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and the calculated fluxes were also highest on 27 and 29-30 October. The lowest L-shell path studied, NDK-Seattle ($L=2.9-3.1$), showed only small perturbation amplitudes and for only a few days. On 26 and 27 October perturbations of ~1 dB suggest $>30$ keV flux magnitudes of $\sim 10^4$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, with very low level fluxes of $<10^1$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the remainder of the study period. The highest L-shell path studied, NAA-Edmonton ($L=2.9-4.6$), showed perturbations of ~2 dB corresponding to $>30$keV flux magnitudes of $\sim 10^3$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, peaking on 27 and 29-30 October as with the other paths.

Three of the paths studied are consistent in the indication of low levels of dayside precipitation flux from inside of the plasmapause ($L\sim 3-4.5$) lasting from 25-30 October. The lowest L-shell path at $L\sim 3$ shows only a brief period of precipitation lasting until 27 October, with the accurate identification of flux levels present made uncertain by the small perturbation values exhibited. This suggests that $L=3$ is close to the inner edge of the plasmaspheric-hiss induced precipitation region; this is consistent with the findings of Whittaker et al. [2014] using super-posed POES observations. In the L-shell range $L\sim 3-4.5$ $>30$keV flux magnitudes peak at $\sim 10^2$ el. cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ which is close to the sensitivity limit of the POES electron detectors and potentially explains the lack of observed precipitation by POES.
We have shown that the observed $L=3-4.5$ radiowave perturbations during, and after, a geomagnetic disturbance can be reasonably modeled in order to provide electron precipitation fluxes. The $>30$ keV precipitation fluxes during the main phase of the geomagnetic storm appear to be driven by chorus waves located outside of the plasmapause. The determined fluxes are consistent with the levels measured by POES at the time, and those described by Whittaker et al. [2014] in a superposed epoch study of geomagnetic storms, i.e., $>30$ keV electron flux of $\sim 10^4$ el.cm$^{-2}$ sr$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The $L=3-4.5$ $>30$ keV precipitation fluxes during the recovery phase of the storm can be reasonably modeled by an e-folding energy spectrum consistent with that previously associated with plasmaspheric hiss. The $L$-shell range of the precipitation, and the observation of precipitation fluxes primarily on the dayside, are also suggestive of the involvement of plasmaspheric hiss in the recovery phase of the storm.

5. The loss of trapped fluxes within the plasmasphere.

Immediately following the geomagnetic storm in October 2011 enhanced $>30$, $>100$, and $>300$ keV quasi-trapped electron fluxes were observed inside of the plasmapause at $L=3-4.5$. After the storm the quasi-trapped fluxes slowly recovered towards their initial levels over a period of 5-7 days. However, no enhanced electron precipitation fluxes were observed inside of the plasmapause by the POES $>30$, $>100$, and $>300$ keV telescopes at this time. Never-the-less, detectable changes in radio wave propagation conditions were observed on daytime paths that crossed under the magnetic field-line footprints of the plasmasphere at $L=3-4.5$. By using an electron precipitation energy spectrum published for plasmaspheric hiss $[E_0=365$ keV, Rodger et al., 2007] we have been able to reasonably model the perturbations of the radiowave propagation conditions, finding that $>30$ keV fluxes of $\sim 100$ el. cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ were occurring, and lasting for 5-7 days. These flux levels are close to the sensitivity limit of the POES electron
detectors (~100 el. cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$) and would explain the lack of enhanced precipitation fluxes in
the SEM-2 telescopes.

We wish to test whether such low precipitation fluxes, with magnitudes near the POES noise
efloor-level, are able to deplete the trapped radiation belt population on time scales similar to
that observed by POES after the 24 October 2011 storm. To do this we calculate the total
population of electrons in a flux tube, integrated with energy and normalised to the trapped
values reported by the POES 90-degree detector. This population is then depleted at a steady
rate consistent with the AARDDVARK and POES-determined precipitation fluxes to find the
decay rate expected in the trapped fluxes assuming that this is the dominant loss process. This
is a fairly common approach used in experimental studies to determine the overall significance
of precipitation to the radiation belts [e.g., Voss et al., 1998; Lorentzen et al., 2001; Rodger et
al., 2003; O’Brien et al., 2004; Blum et al., 2013].

Using the assumed e-folding precipitation spectrum of $E_0=365$ keV, combined with the
inferred $>30$ keV precipitation flux levels of 100 el. cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ we calculate the rate of decay
of the trapped fluxes in a theoretical POES 90° detector, assuming a $n=2.5$ dependence of the
fluxes to pitch angle following Blum et al. [2013], and taking the approach to calculate flux
tube populations given in section 5 of Rodger et al. [2003]. Figure 7 shows the effect of
depleting trapped fluxes in the $>30$, $>100$, and $>300$ keV ranges for 5 days (modelled values
indicated by solid lines, observations indicated by dashed lines). The calculation was made to
represent the pitch angles of the POES 90° telescopes, starting from levels that were seen after
the main phase of the geomagnetic storm. We assume that the precipitating flux is active for 24
hours each day. If, as is more likely, the precipitation is only occurring for 12 hours in each day
(equivalent to 06-18 MLT) the effects shown are equivalent to a precipitation flux of 200 el.
cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\) for >30 keV electrons. Day 0 represents 26 October 2011. We assume that calculated fluxes will reflect those of the trapped fluxes at POES altitudes (equivalent to an equatorial pitch angle of about 8 degrees). As a result of the imposed loss from precipitation into the atmosphere the calculated >30 keV trapped fluxes are reduced by about an order of magnitude in 5 days. The >100 keV fluxes are reduced by two orders of magnitude in ~1 day, and the >300 keV fluxes by the same amount in about half a day. This behavior is in agreement those values estimated by Meredith et al. [2006b] where loss rates increased with increasing energy.

The observed POES 90° electron fluxes following the storm on 25 October are indicated by the dashed lines. Comparison between the calculated flux variation and the observations suggests that >30 keV fluxes are lost at a rate that is roughly consistent with the observed rate. However, the >100 keV and >300 keV trapped fluxes decrease more quickly than is observed, whereas the electron loss timescales between 100-300 keV in Meredith et al. [2006b] are in the order of days and are reasonably consistent with these observations. Overall, these results suggest that an electron precipitation spectrum with \(E_0=365\) keV, from plasmaspheric hiss, has the capability to drive the observed decay of the trapped fluxes in POES measurements even while the fluxes are too low for the POES precipitation telescopes to register that any precipitation is occurring. However, the observed decay times are essentially the same at all three of the energy ranges while in a system where only plasmaspheric hiss losses are occurring we estimate that the higher energy electrons should be lost faster than the low energy populations when the precipitation spectrum has \(E_0=365\) keV, as we have shown. It is possible that as well as scattering into the loss-cone taking place, there is also some in-situ acceleration of the electrons, counter-acting on the decay of the fluxes of the >100 and >300 keV channels.
This is consistent with the idea that trapped electron flux variation is due to “a delicate balance between acceleration and loss” [Reeves et al., 2003]. Our results may suggest that the plasmaspheric hiss waves are taking part in wave-particle amplification processes in the plasmasphere at these higher energies, or that there could be an additional source provided by radial transport [Li and Temerin, 2001]. We note that it is very challenging to accurately estimate the total flux tube population and their evolution with time on the basis of observations from low Earth orbit. In particular, the assumed pitch angle distribution is a quite sensitive parameter when calculating the decay times.

7. Summary

We find that during a large geomagnetic storm in October 2011 the quasi-trapped fluxes of >30, >100, and >300 keV radiation belt electrons are enhanced at \( L = 3-4 \) during the main phase. This is initially due to chorus-driven wave-particle acceleration occurring when the plasmapause was located at \( L < 3 \) for \(~9\) hours. During the storm recovery phase the plasmapause returned to typical non-disturbed \( L \)-shells (\( L \sim 4.5 \)) and the quasi-trapped fluxes at \( L = 3-4 \) slowly declined over \(~6\) days. However no electron precipitation into the atmosphere was detected by the POES >30, >100, and >300 keV 0° loss-cone telescopes during the decay of the quasi-trapped fluxes. Conversely the AARDDVARK network of radiowave receivers did detect dayside changes in radiowave propagation on paths that respond to electron precipitation from \( L = 3-4 \). The perturbation levels were found to be caused by >30 keV precipitation fluxes with magnitude \(~100\) el. cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\) using a previously published plasmaspheric hiss-induced electron energy e-folding spectrum of \( E_0 = 365 \) keV [Rodger et al., 2007]. The low levels of precipitation explain the lack of response of the POES telescopes to the flux. The detection of
dayside, inner plasmasphere electron precipitation during the recovery phase of the storm is consistent with plasmaspheric hiss wave-particle interactions.

Estimates of the loss timescales due to the plasmaspheric hiss using the electron precipitation characteristics found in this study suggest timescales of days at $>30$ keV, but hours at $>100$ keV and $>300$ keV. The calculations agree with observed loss timescales for $>30$ keV quasi-trapped fluxes observed by the POES 90° detectors, but are much shorter than observed at $>100$ and $>300$ keV. These results suggest that plasmaspheric hiss has the capability to drive the observed decay rates of the trapped fluxes. It is possible that acceleration of the $>100$ and $>300$ keV fluxes inside of the plasmasphere was also taking place at the same time as losses into the atmosphere were occurring, counter-acting the effects of pitch angle scattering into the loss-cone. Further modelling work is needed in order clarify the processes behind these observations.
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CLILVERD ET AL.: ELECTRON PRECIP FROM PLASMASPHERIC HISS
Figure 1. The subionospheric propagation paths from VLF transmitters NDK, and NAA (triangles) to the AARDDVARK receiver site at Forks, Seattle and Ministik Lake, Edmonton (circles). $L$-Shell contours for $L=2$, 3 and 4 are shown as black dashed lines, while an $L$-shell contour representing the quiet-time location of the plasmapause at $L=4.6$ is shown as a blue dashed line.
Figure 2. Upper panel. The variation of the geomagnetic activity index, Dst, during 18 October-14 November 2011. A geomagnetic disturbance begins on 24 October 2011, with recovery conditions occurring until 01 November. The zonally averaged >100 keV POES quasi-trapped (middle panel) and precipitating (lower panel) electron fluxes during the study period in October-November 2011. The $L$-shell ranges cover the inner and outer radiation belts, where several enhancements in flux can be seen. Color scales represent $\log_{10}$ of electron flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$), with black representing missing data. A model of the location of the plasmapause is shown as a white line in both panels.
Figure 3. (upper panels) Median amplitude variations of the NAA and NDK transmitter received at Forks, Seattle from 18 October-05 November 2011. The color scale is in dB relative to an arbitrary voltage. A horizontal white dashed line represents the storm onset time on 24 October 2011. (lower panels) NAA and NDK amplitude perturbations during the study period. Perturbations are calculated from non-disturbed values. Daytime (19:30 UT, red line) and nighttime (06 UT, blue line) lines are shown. Vertical black dashed lines represent the storm onset time on 24 October 2011.
Figure 4. As for Figure 3 but for the NAA and NDK transmitters received at Ministik Lake, Edmonton.
Figure 5. Upper panels, modeled night perturbations in NDK amplitude (lefthand side) and NAA amplitude (righthand side) at Forks, Seattle, for varying magnitudes of >30 keV electron precipitation flux. Lower panels, modeled night perturbations in NDK amplitude (lefthand side) and NAA amplitude (righthand side) at Ministik Lake, Edmonton for varying magnitudes of >30 keV electron precipitation flux. The electron precipitation is modeled with a 10-3000 keV energy spectrum with a -3 power-law gradient consistent with chorus-induced electron precipitation [Whittaker et al., 2013]. The L-shell ranges of the propagation paths are indicated on each plot.
Figure 6. As for Figure 5. However, in this case the electron precipitation is modeled with a 365 keV e-folding spectrum consistent with plasmaspheric hiss-induced electron precipitation [Rodger et al., 2008], and the radiowave propagation conditions are for a daytime ionosphere.
Figure 7. Calculated evolution of the POES 90º fluxes at >30 keV (black lines), >100 keV (blue lines) and >300 keV (red lines) caused by precipitation driven by plasmaspheric hiss with parameters described in the text (solid lines). Dashed lines indicate the observed POES quasi-trapped electron flux variation from the start of the recovery phase of the 25 October 2011 geomagnetic storm.