Ocean Wave Speed in the Antarctic MIZ

Colin Fox

Mathematics Department, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand

Tim Haskell

Industrial Research Limited, Lower Hutt, NewZealand

Abstract— The propagation of ocean waves in the marginal ice zone (MIZ) is investigated with the aim of determining whether the loading and scattering of waves by ice floes is significant. Measurements made using instrumented ice floes in the MIZ north of the Ross Sea, Antarctica, during June 1998, are used to determine the frequency-wavelength relationship for propagating ocean waves in that region. This measured dispersion equation is related to the effective large-scale properties of the MIZ that occur in models for wave propagation and scattering. We present the measured wave speeds to enable estimation of the parameters in these models.

Keywords— Wave speed, dispersion equation, marginal ice zone, sea ice.

INTRODUCTION

The marginal ice zone (MIZ) around Antarctica is that region of broken floes and pack ice surrounding the continent during winter and spring in which the ocean wave behaviour is distinctly modified from that in the open sea. The striking feature of the surface and waves on entering the MIZ is that the average floe size increases as the wave field becomes smoother than the open sea. This simultaneous lengthening of the dominant wave length and lengthening of the mean floe size away from the open sea is evidence of the feedback nature of the wave-ice interaction in the MIZ. That interaction involves the bending of floes by ocean waves, with breaking resulting particularly for those wavelengths that lead to large floe flexure, and the concomitant scattering of wave energy. Loss of wave energy also occurs through mechanisms such as wave sloshing around floes and collisions between floes. Thus the wave field modifies the floe-size distribution while the presence of floes simultaneously modifies the wave field.

This paper focuses on the part of the interaction that involves the scattering of surface ocean waves by floes within the MIZ and in particular establishes a field measurement of the dispersion relation – being the relationship between frequency and wavenumber – for surface-gravity waves propagating within the Antarctic MIZ. This measurement can be then used to calibrate or validate models for wave scattering in the MIZ which typically produce a prediction of group speed as a function of frequency.

A number of models for scattering in the MIZ have recently been developed. Over the last few years Dixon and Squire (2000a) used the well-established theory of random media to develop an effective medium approach, using the coherent-potential approximation to derive a dispersion relation for propagation within a plate of ice with random scattering inclusions. Such models predict the mean-free path for energy propagation between scatterers, which sets the boundary between primarily coherent transport to totally diffusive transport. That work is presently being extended to include the effect of the water to give a model for scattering in the MIZ (Dixon and Squire 2000b). A few years earlier, Meylan and Fox (1996) developed a semiempirical model using the scattering from a single floe to give the scattering kernel in a linear Boltzmann equation describing the propagation and scattering of wave intensity. Steady state solutions were presented by Meylan and others (1996). Recently Meylan (2000) has improved the estimate of the scattering kernel by taking into account the local coherent effects between floes to calculate the mean intensity scattering for square floes averaged over floe position and orientation for a given mean floe size and separation. It is worth noting that both these models result in a Boltzmann-type transport equation for wave intensity. Solutions lead to the effective group speed as a function of frequency in the scattering medium, which is the derivative of the effective dispersion equation in the composite medium.

DISPERSION RELATIONS AND WAVE SPEED

Surface ocean waves of small amplitude – or of moderate amplitudes where cresting does not occur – are made up of plane propagating waves that have the form (the real part of)

$$\exp\left(i\left(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{t}\right)\right).$$

Here \mathbf{x} and t are the horizontal space and time coordinates, respectively, while \mathbf{k} and ω give the vector wavenumber and radial frequency of the wave. The wave propagates in the direction given by the unit vector \mathbf{k}/\mathbf{k} where $k = \|\mathbf{k}\|$ is the magnitude of the wavenumber. The wavelength is therefore $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ and the period is $T = 2\pi/\omega$.

In a given medium there is a functional relation between period and wavelength, usually stated as the relation between magnitude of the wavenumber and radial frequency, called the dispersion relation. Wave propagation in homogeneous media has a well-defined dispersion relation, while the effective dispersion relations for inhomogeneous media depend on the scale being observed. Our interest here is in making a measure of the dispersion relation for propagating waves in the Antarctic MIZ and seeing whether it conforms to one of the simple dispersion relations for a homogeneous medium or whether the inhomogeneity of the ice field is important.

When the ice floes have no effect on wave propagation, as occurs in the long wavelength limit, the dispersion relation is just that for the open sea, which is (assuming deep water)

$$k = \frac{\omega^2}{g}$$
 (open water). (1)

At long wavelengths, though not necessarily in the limit, the surface cover of broken floes affects the wave propagation because of the added mass at the surface compared to open water. If the rigidity of the floes or viscous effects have no role, then the dispersion relation becomes

$$k = \frac{\omega^2}{g - d_{\rm s} h \omega^2} \qquad \text{(added mass)} \tag{2}$$

in which d_s is the specific density of sea ice (which is close to 1) and h is the thickness of the ice cover. If the ice cover is homogeneous, in that all floes have the same thickness, then the value of h, and hence the dispersion relation, is well defined. Otherwise, an effective value for h is required, which typically depends on the scale being observed. When the frequency is low enough that $\omega^2 \ll g/ch$, then the added mass dispersion relation reduces to the dispersion relation for open water, so $k \propto \omega^2$, and we can conclude that the mass of the floes has no effect on wave propagation. Above that frequency the added mass increases the wavenumber and hence reduces the wavelength for a given frequency, though this effect is small for typical ice thicknesses and periods. At the higher frequencies where the added mass is appreciable, typically the flexural rigidity of the floes becomes important – for ice floe sizes and thicknesses of geophysical interest. Then the dispersion relation for a *homogeneous* ice sheet with flexural rigidity L is between the positive real root k of

$$Lk^{5} + \rho \left(g - d_{\rm s}h\omega^{2}\right)k - \rho\omega^{2} = 0 \qquad \text{(ice sheet)} \qquad (3)$$

and the radial frequency ω . Here ρ is the density of sea water. It can be shown that the radial frequency $\omega_c = (\rho g^5/L)^{1/8}$ sets a transition in behaviour of this dispersion equation. For $\omega < \omega_c$, $k \approx \omega^2/g$ as for open water, while for $\omega > \omega_c$, $k \approx (\rho \omega^2/L)^{1/5}$, i.e., $k \propto \omega^{2/5}$.

The dispersion relation completely characterizes the propagation of waves and energy in a (linear) medium. For example the ratio of wavelength over period gives the phase speed

$$c_{\rm p} = \frac{\omega}{k}$$
 (phase speed) (4)

which is the speed of propagation of peaks or troughs in a monochromatic wave. In open water this speed is (using equation 1) $c_{\rm p}(\omega) = g/\omega$, so phase speed is inversely proportional to frequency. When the phase speed is not constant with frequency, the waves of different frequency that make up a wave packet will propagate with differing phase speeds and the packet will disperse – hence the name of the relation. For packets with a relatively narrow bandwidth, the envelope of the wave packet and hence the energy in the wave packet propagates with the group speed

$$c_{\rm g} = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$$
 (group speed). (5)



Fig. 1. The ship's radar screen at the time of the measurements. North is upwards. Wave crests due to waves from bearing 305° are visible.

So a wave packet in the open sea propagates with speed $c_{\rm g}(\omega) = g/2\omega$ when the central frequency of the packet is ω , i.e., half the phase speed.

A topic of current research is development of models for wave propagation in the MIZ that take into account the inhomogeneous nature of the ice cover that leads to wave scattering and other phenomena. These models predict the effective wave speeds, or equivalently, the effective dispersion relation. In this paper we present an experimental measurement of the dispersion equation in the Antarctic MIZ for comparison to the predictions of the simple models above, or the more complicated models that include scattering, etc.

DESCRIPTION OF MEASUREMENTS

Measurements of the wave field in the Antarctic MIZ were made on 11 June 1998 during cruise NBP 98-3. For that cruise, research vessel Nathaniel B. Palmer was taken into the pack ice southwards on longitudes 180° to the Ross Ice Shelf and then northwards on longitudes 175° E and 175° W. The measurements reported here were taken at position $65^{\circ}40$ 'S $174^{\circ}56$ 'W, which was inside the pack ice about 10 km from the open sea.

Figure 1 shows a snapshot of the radar screen taken during the measurements. The wave field is quite clearly visible, and is dominated by long-crested waves propagating from the open sea. The direction of wave propagation, estimated from this picture, is from 305°. The important conclusion is that measurements of wave height, or acceleration, made at two points in the wave field that are close enough to experience coherent wave fields will suffice to measure the dispersion relation.

Two ice floes were instrumented, each with a GPS unit that recorded the floe's position each second and accelerometers that measured the vertical and horizontal accelerations each 1/10 of a second. Each floe was roughly oval in shape, floe 1 being 7 m × 4.4 m and 0.3 m thick, while floe 2 was 9.0 m × 6.2 m and 0.6 m thick. Figure 2 shows the instrumentation being deployed on one of the ice floes. The ship then stood away from the floes (about 10 km) and the floe data was telemetered to the ship and recorded



Fig. 2. Deploying the instrumentation on an ice floe from a basket lowered over the side of the ship.



Fig. 3. The position of floe 2 with respect to floe 1, in metres east and metres north, shown for the 3000 seconds of the data that are analysed.

in digital form. The two floes were separated by a distance of about 250 m during the recording period (though approximately 150 m in the direction of propagation), and hence they experienced different wave motions. Figure 3 shows a trace of the position of floe 2 with respect to floe 1, for the duration of measurements. At the start of the measurement period, floe 2 was positioned -27 m east and 191 m north relative to floe 1.

We analyze a section of that data recorded between 06:30 and 07:21 GMT, i.e. nearly 50 minutes duration. Figure 4 shows a 500 second section of the record of accelerations, offset vertically for clarity. The wave structure is clearly visible in the vertical acceleration. Note that the horizontal accelerations are much smaller than the vertical motion, but do contain occasional spikes that are probably the re-



Fig. 4. A typical record of measured accelerations. The upper trace is vertical acceleration while the lower, smaller amplitude, traces give the acceleration in perpendicular horizontal directions. The traces have been offset for clarity so the mean level should be ignored.

sult of collisions between floes.

The power spectral density (PSD) for vertical acceleration during the 50 minute record is shown in Figure 5. This estimate was found using Welch's method (Welch, 1967) by segmenting the record into sections of length 4096 (409.6 seconds), windowing with a Hanning window, taking Fourier transforms and then averaging the square magnitude of the transforms. Hence the averaged frequency bins have width 1/409.6 = 0.0024 Hz. The resulting estimate is scaled so that the integral of the square of the signal equals the integral of the PSD. This estimate achieves variance reduction by effectively smoothing over frequencies. Note that the wave energy is limited to the frequencies 0.05 < f < 0.15, corresponding to periods between 6.5 and 20 seconds.

The dispersion equation is estimated by measuring the phase difference between vertical accelerations at the two floes and using the separation between floes, in the direction of wave propagation, to obtain the phase speed. This simple scheme is complicated by the floes moving in the pack and with respect to each other during the measurement (Figure 3).

ESTIMATING THE DISPERSION RELATION

The estimate of the dispersion equation is made by fitting the implied transfer function that holds between the vertical acceleration of the two floes. Because the wave field is dominated by waves propagating in a single direction, the transfer function at frequency ω is then given by

$$a\left(\omega\right)\exp\left(ik\left(\omega\right)\Delta x\right)\tag{6}$$

where Δx is the distance between the floes in the direction of propagation. The term $a(\omega)$ is due to the degree of



Fig. 5. Power spectral density for vertical acceleration of the two floes. Floe 1: solid line, floe 2: dashed line.

correlation, or equivalently the angular spread, of the wave field. It would equal 1 for perfectly uni-directional wave fields, though it is typically less than 1.

We denote the vertical acceleration at floe 1 and 2 by $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$, respectively, and the Fourier transforms of these time series by $Z_1(\omega)$ and $Z_2(\omega)$, respectively. Assuming the transfer function $S(\omega)$ is constant over a band of frequencies $\omega \in \Gamma$, the transfer function from floe 1 to floe 2 can be estimated by the generalization of Welch's method

$$S(\omega) = \frac{\int_{\Gamma} Z_2 Z_1^* d\omega}{\int_{\Gamma} |Z_1|^2 d\omega}.$$
(7)

Note that the denominator in this estimate is the PSD at floe 1. The integral over frequencies in the numerator cannot be achieved by averaging over segments, as in Welch's method, because the transfer function changes with time as the separation of the two floes changes. Instead, we generalize Welch's method and fit a transfer function of the form in equation 6 to the sequence of estimates $S(\omega) = Z_2 Z_1^* / \int_{\Gamma} |Z_1|^2 d\omega$ calculated for each time segment. If the distance between floes Δx remained constant, this fitting procedure would reduce to the estimate in equation 7. The resulting magnitude of wavenumbers is shown with dots in Figure 6.

The dispersion relation for open water is also shown in Figure 6 (dotted line). It is clear that the measured wavenumber values are consistently larger (shorter wavelength) than those given by the open water relation, for frequencies above 0.1 Hz. The best-fit power law is shown as a dashed line and gives $k \propto \omega^{2.41}$. This exponent is significantly different to the exponent 2 in the open water model, and also does not fit the dispersion relations given earlier. Given the measured dispersion relation fits the power 2.41 well, it seems clear that a systematic effect is



Fig. 6. Measured dispersion relation (dots) along with open water dispersion relation (dotted line) and best-fit power law (dashed line).

occurring. Possible causes of this effect is that the bobbing response of the floes is different and introduces a systematic phase effect, or that the directionality of the wave field causes a drop off in correlation with frequency leading to a systematic bias in our estimate. However, both these causes are unlikely since the bobbing frequency is much greater than the wave frequencies of interest here, while the coherence effect would introduce noise in the estimates which is not evident in Figure 6. Another possibility is that the dispersion relation in the MIZ is significantly affected by the presence of the pack ice and is different to that in open water.

The fitted dispersion equation crosses the open-water dispersion equation close to the frequency f = 0.1 Hz. At that frequency the phase velocities are equal since, for both open water and the MIZ, $\omega/k = 2\pi \times 0.1/0.04 = 16 \text{ms}^{-1}$. Since the measured wavenumber is slightly smaller than the open-water wavenumber for f < 0.1, the phase velocity in the MIZ is slightly greater than the phase velocity in open water at low frequencies. Conversely, for f > 0.1 the measured wavenumber is significantly larger than the openwater wavenumber and hence the actual phase velocity is substantially less than that in open water. This suggests that at shorter periods, waves in the MIZ do not behave like gravity waves and that some other mechanism is more important in defining the wave velocity.

CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that it is possible to measure the actual dispersion relation in the Antarctic MIZ using the motion of two floes – at least under the assumption of a unidirectional wave field. The spacing between floes can not be completely determined as it depends on the motion of the pack ice. However, we used a data set in which the separation between floes in the direction of propagation is close to one wavelength at the dominant frequency of 0.1 Hz. That spacing provides a good compromise between the greater coherency with smaller floe spacing as opposed to greater calculation accuracy at larger spacing. The precise spacing that is optimal depends on the details of directivity in the wave field with more coherent wave fields allowing greater spacing and hence accuracy. However, it seems unlikely that much more than one wavelength spacing is ever necessary. Note, however, that the method given here may be generalized to analyze the vertical motion of many floes to give the directionality of the wave field as well as the dispersion relation. That measurement would overcome the difficulties associated with using just two floes. The measured dispersion relation proved to be significantly different to the relation for open water. While the added-mass and icesheet models predict dispersion equations with power laws less than 2, we found that the measured dispersion equation has a power law with exponent greater than 2. The possible causes and mechanisms for this measured power law are certain to provide a focus for future research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Foundation for Science Research and Technology and the Marsden Fund. The authors thank the U.S. National Science Foundation, U.S. Antarctic Program, for the opportunity to participate in the Nathaniel B. Palmer cruise 98-3.

REFERENCES

Dixon, T. W. and V. A. Squire. 2000a. Energy transport velocity of flexural waves in a random medium. *Waves Random Media*, **10**(2000), 83-102.

Dixon, T. W. and V. A. Squire. 2000b. Multiple scattering in a marginal ice zone. In A. J. Pullan and D. M. Ryan, eds., ANZIAM 2000, The 36th Applied Mathematics Conference, Copthorne Resort, Waitangi, Bay of Islands, New Zealand, 8-12 February 2000, ISB Number 7259 0869 6. Auckland, Australian Mathematical Society, 44.

Meylan M. and C. Fox. 1996. A model for the propagation of waves through the MIZ from a single floe solution. *In Proceedings of the 6th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*, vol. 3, Int. Soc. of Offshore and Polar Eng., Golden, Colorado, 321-327.

Meylan M., V.A. Squire and C. Fox. 1997. Towards Realism in Modelling Ocean Wave Behavior in Marginal Ice Zones. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **102**(C10), 22981– 22991.

Meylan M. 2000. Wave scattering in the marginal ice zone. In A. J. Pullan and D. M. Ryan, eds., ANZIAM 2000, The 36th Applied Mathematics Conference, Copthorne Resort, Waitangi, Bay of Islands, New Zealand, 8-12 February 2000, ISB Number 7259 0869 6. Auckland, Australian Mathematical Society, 43.

Welch, Peter D. 1967. The use of fast fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms. *IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust.*, AU-15, 70-73.